ON K-LOOPS OF FINITE ORDER

To the memory of Hans Zassenhaus

Alexander Kreuzer and Heinrich Wefelscheid

Abstract. In this note we undertake an axiomatic investigation of K-loops (or gyrogroups, as A.A. Ungar used to name them) and provide new construction methods for finite K-loops. It is shown how, more or less, the axioms are independent from each other. Especially (K6) is independent as A.A. Ungar already had conjectured.

We begin with right loops (L, \oplus) and add step by step further properties. So the connection between K-loops, Bol-loops, Bruck-loops and the homogeneous loops of Kikkawa became clear. The smallest examples of proper K-loops possess 8 elements; there are exactly 3 non-isomorphic of these.

At last it is shown that one gets quite naturally a Frobenius-group as a quasidirect product of a K-loop (L,θ) and a group D of automorphisms of (L,θ) if D is fixed point free except from 0.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to describe sharply 2-transitive groups, H. Karzel introduced in [9] the notion of a neardomain (F, θ, \cdot) (cf. [33]). The crucial difficulty of a neardomain is the additive structure (F, θ) , which need not be associative. A neardomain (F, θ, \cdot) with an associative addition is already a nearfield. In many notes neardomains are investigated (cf. [10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 33, 34]), but until today no example of a proper neardomain is known. To obtain partial results, W. Kerby and H. Wefelscheid considered separately the additive structure (F, θ) and called such loops K-loops (see definition in section 2), but since they could not find a proper example of a K-loop no further theoretical investigations were done. The interest on K-loops has been revived grown since A. A. Ungar 1988 has found a famous physical example.

A.A. Ungar investigated the relativistic addition \oplus of the velocities $\mathbb{R}^3_C \coloneqq \{\ v \in \mathbb{R}^3: \ |v| <_C \}$

(c speed of light). He showed that (\mathbb{R}^3_C, \oplus) is a non-associative and non-commutative loop with characteristic automorphisms, the socalled Thomas rotations. He proved that for any two velocities $a,b \in \mathbb{R}^3_C$ there is a Thomas rotation $\delta_{a,b}$ fulfilling $a \oplus (b \oplus x) = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)$ (cf. [29, 30, 31]). H. Wefelscheid recognized then that (\mathbb{R}^3_C, \oplus) is a K-loop.

But there is also a close connection between K-loops and Bruck loops, which is discovered first by G. Kist [19]. Bruck loops are Bol loops satisfying the automorphic inverse property (K5) (cf. section 2). Bol loops are introduced by G. Bol in 1937 in order to coordinatize webs (cf. [11]), and are investigated in later years in many papers [2 to 6, 12, 25 to 28]. The examples in Bol's paper are due to Zassenhaus. Bol also seemed having had difficulties in finding proper examples. In [19, §1.3] G. Kist remarks, that already from Lemma 6 of G. Glauberman [6] one can deduce that every finite Bruck loop of odd order satisfies (K3). As a generalisation it is proved in [21, Theorem1] that every Bruck loop with no element of order 2 is a K-loop. Hence many examples of Bruck loops turns out to be examples of K-loops (cf. [12,25]). Other examples are given by A. Kreuzer in [21, 22].

In this note we consider right loops with the axiom (K3), not necessarily finite, and add step by step the other axioms of a K-loop if necessary for stronger results. In section 2 a comprehensive list of properties of right loops is presented. Let D denote the group of automorphisms of a right loop (L, \oplus) with (K3) and (K4), generated by the maps $\delta_{a,b}$. Then one can introduce on $G:=L\times D$ a group operation (cf. (3.5)). In section 3 we consider the inverse of that process, starting with a group G and an exact decomposition $G=K\cdot A$. In section 4 a new construction method for a loop operation on the set $G\times H$ for commutative groups G,H is given. In section 5 and 6 we consider finite examples of K-loops constructed with that method. If any automorphism $\delta\in D\setminus \{id\}$ of a K-loop (L,\oplus) has only the fixed point 0, then L determines a Frobenius group. In the finite case that fact implies that for proper finite K-loops there always does exist an automorphism $\tau\in D\setminus \{id\}$ having fixed point distinct form 0 (cf. section 7).

2. DEFINITIONS AND PROPERTIES OF LOOPS

Let L be a set with a binary operation θ . We call (L,θ) a right loop if (K1r),(K2) are valid, and a loop, if $(K1r),(K1\ell)$ and (K2) are valid for all $a,b\in L$.

- (K1r) The equation $a \oplus x = b$ has a unique solution $x \in L$.
- (K11) The equation $y \oplus a = b$ has a unique solution $y \in L$.
- (K2) There is a two-sided neutral element $0 \in L$ with $a \oplus 0 = a = 0 \oplus a$.

In the following let (L, \oplus) always denote a right loop. By **(K1r)**, for $a \in L$ the map $\lambda_a \colon L \to L, \ x \to \lambda_a(x) \coloneqq a \oplus x$

is bijective. Therefore for a,b $\in L$ also $\delta_{a,b} = \lambda_{a \oplus b}^{-1} \circ \lambda_a \circ \lambda_b$ is a bijection.

(2.1) For all $a,b\in L$, the map $\delta_{a,b}:L\to L$, $x\to\delta_{a,b}(x)$ is a bijection with the properties $a\oplus (b\oplus x)=(a\oplus b)\oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)$, $\delta_{a,b}(0)=0$, and $\delta_{a,0}=\delta_{0,a}=id$.

Proof. Clearly $(a\oplus b)\oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)=\lambda_{a\oplus b}\circ \lambda_{a\oplus b}^{-1}\circ \lambda_{a}\circ \lambda_{b}(x)=a\oplus (b\oplus x)$. Since $a\oplus b=a\oplus (b\oplus 0)=(a\oplus b)\oplus \delta_{a,b}(0)$, by **(K1r)** $\delta_{a,b}(0)=0$. Since $a\oplus b=a\oplus (0\oplus b)=(a\oplus 0)\oplus \delta_{a,0}(b)=a\oplus \delta_{a,0}(b)$ for every $b\in L$ it follows that, $\delta_{a,0}=id$, and by $a\oplus b=0\oplus (a\oplus b)=(0\oplus a)\oplus \delta_{0,a}(b)=a\oplus \delta_{0,a}(b)$, also $\delta_{0,a}=id$.

- (2.2) For $a, b, x, y \in L$:
- (a) $(a \oplus b) \oplus x = a \oplus (b \oplus \delta_a b^{-1}(x)).$
- $(\mathbf{b}) \ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y}) = \ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \ \oplus \ \delta_{\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}}^{-1}, \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \circ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b} \oplus \mathbf{x}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}).$
- (c) For every automorphism α of (L, \oplus) , $\alpha \circ \delta_{a,b} \circ \alpha^{-1} = \delta_{\alpha(a),\alpha(b)}$.

Proof. (a). $a \oplus (b \oplus \delta_{a,b}^{-1}(x)) = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b} \circ \delta_{a,b}^{-1}(x) = (a \oplus b) \oplus x$.

- $\begin{array}{l} \text{(b). } a\oplus \left(b\oplus (x\oplus y)\right) = \ (a\oplus b)\oplus \delta_{a,b}(x\oplus y), \ \text{and on the other hand} \ a\oplus \left(b\oplus (x\oplus y)\right) = \\ a\oplus \left((b\oplus x)\oplus \delta_{b,x}(y)\right) = \ \left(a\oplus (b\oplus x)\right) \oplus \delta_{a,b\oplus x}\circ \delta_{b,x}(y) = \left((a\oplus b)\oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)\right) \oplus \delta_{a,b\oplus x}\circ \delta_{b,x}(y) \stackrel{\textbf{(a)}}{=} \\ (a\oplus b)\oplus \left(\delta_{a,b}(x)\oplus \delta_{a\oplus b}^{-1},\delta_{a,b}(x)\circ \delta_{a,b\oplus x}\circ \delta_{b,x}(y)\right). \ \ \text{Hence by (K1r)} \ \delta_{a,b}(x\oplus y) = \\ \delta_{a,b}(x)\oplus \delta_{a\oplus b}^{-1},\delta_{a,b}(x)\circ \delta_{a,b\oplus x}\circ \delta_{b,x}(y) \end{array}$
- (c). For $a \in L$, we have $\alpha \circ \lambda_a \circ \alpha^{-1}(x) = \alpha(a \oplus \alpha^{-1}(x)) = \alpha(a) \oplus x = \lambda_{\alpha(a)}(x)$. Hence $\alpha \circ \delta_{a,b} \circ \alpha^{-1} = \alpha \circ \lambda_{a}^{-1} \oplus b \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_{a} \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \lambda_{b} \circ \alpha^{-1} = \lambda_{\alpha(a) \oplus \alpha(b)}^{-1} \circ \lambda_{\alpha(a)}^{-1} \circ \lambda_{$
- (2.3) $\delta_{a,b}$ is an automorphism of (L,\oplus) , if and only if $\delta_{a\oplus b}, \delta_{a,b}(x)\circ \delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a,b\oplus x}\circ \delta_{b,x}$.

Proof. By **(K1r)** and (2.2.b) $\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \oplus \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) \stackrel{!}{=} \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \oplus \delta_{\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b}}^{-1}, \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \circ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{x}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})$ iff $\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) = \delta_{\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b}}^{-1}, \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \circ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{x}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})$ for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{L}$, i.e., $\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} = \delta_{\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b}}^{-1}, \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \circ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{x}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})$

We consider the following properties for $a,b \in L$:

- (I) If $a \oplus b = 0$, then $b \oplus a = 0$.
- (K3) $\delta_{a,b}$ is an automorphism of (L,\oplus) .
- (K4) If $a \oplus b = 0$ then $\delta_{a,b} = id$.
- (K4') $\delta_{a,a} = id$.
- (K5) $(\Theta a) \oplus (\Theta b) = \Theta (a \oplus b)$ (Automorphic inverse property)
- (K6) $\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a,b\oplus a}$.
- (KB) $a \oplus (b \oplus (a \oplus c)) = (a \oplus (b \oplus a)) \oplus c (Bol-identity).$
- If (I) is fulfilled, then $b \in L$ is the right and left inverse element of an element $a \in L$, and we write $\Theta a := b$. (K5) is only efficient, if (I) is satisfied and means that the map $\Theta: L \to L; x \to \Theta x$

is an automorphism. Furthermore we have $\Theta \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \Theta$ for any $\alpha \in Aut(L, \oplus)$ (cf. (2.5.c)). We remark that for a right loop, (K4), or (K6) and (K1 ℓ), or (KB), respectively, imply (I) (cf. (2.5),(2.10), (2.13)). Then we write $x \Theta y$ for $x \oplus (\Theta y)$.

A loop (L, θ) is called a WK-loop, if (K3) is fulfilled and a K-loop, if (K3),(K5), and (K6) are fulfilled. We will show that a K-loop satisfies all of the above axioms, i.e. a K-loop fulfils also (I), (K4) and (KB).

A loop (L, \oplus) is called a **Bol loop**, if **(KB)** is fulfilled and a **Bruck loop**, if **(KB)** and **(K5)** is fulfilled (cf. [2, 6, 26]).

M. Kikkawa called a loop homogeneous, if (K3) and (K4) are fulfilled, and homogeneous with the symmetric property, if (K3), (K4), and (K5) are fulfilled (cf [18]).

(2.4) Let (L, \oplus) be a right loop with (K3). Then for $a,b,c \in L$: $\delta_{a \oplus b}, \delta_{a,b}(c) \circ \delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a,b \oplus c} \circ \delta_{b,c}$

The proof follows by (2.3).

- (2.5) Let (L, \oplus) be a right loop with (K4). Then for $a, b \in L$:
- (a) (I) is satisfied, i.e. $a \oplus b = 0$ implies $b \oplus a = 0$.
- (b) $a \oplus b = \Theta \delta_{a,b}(\Theta b \Theta a)$.
- (c) $\alpha(\Theta x) = \Theta \alpha(x)$ for every $x \in L$ and every $\alpha \in Aut(L, \oplus)$.

Proof. (a). For $a,b \in L$ with $a \oplus b = 0$ we get $a \oplus (b \oplus a) = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(a) \stackrel{\text{(K4)}}{=} 0 \oplus a = a$, i.e., $b \oplus a = 0$ by (K1r).

- $\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{b}). \ (\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}) \oplus \delta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} (\ominus \mathbf{b} \ominus \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} \oplus \left(\mathbf{b} \oplus (\ominus \mathbf{b} \ominus \mathbf{a}) \right) = \mathbf{a} \oplus \left((\mathbf{b} \oplus (\ominus \mathbf{b})) \oplus \delta_{\mathbf{b}, \ominus \mathbf{b}} (\ominus \mathbf{a}) \right) \overset{(\underline{\mathbf{K4}})}{=} \\ & \mathbf{a} \oplus \left(\mathbf{0} \oplus (\ominus \mathbf{a}) \right) = \mathbf{0}, \ \text{i.e. by (i), } \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b} = \ominus \delta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} (\ominus \mathbf{b} \ominus \mathbf{a}). \end{aligned}$
- (c). $(\Theta x) + x = 0 = \alpha(0) = \alpha((\Theta x) + x) = \alpha(\Theta x) + \alpha(x)$, hence $\alpha(\Theta x) = \Theta \alpha(x)$ by (K11).

A right loop (L, \oplus) is said to have the left inverse property, if for any $a \in L$ there exists an element $\Theta a \in L$ with $(\Theta a) \oplus (a \oplus x) = x$ for every $x \in L$.

- (2.6) For a right loop (L, θ) the following assertions are equivalent:
- (α) (K4).
- (β) The left inverse property.
- (γ) (I) and $a \oplus b = \Theta \delta_{a,b}(\Theta b \Theta a)$ for $a, b \in L$.

Proof. $(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (\beta)$. $(\otimes a) \oplus (a \oplus x) = ((\otimes a) \oplus a) \oplus \delta_{\otimes a,a}(x) \stackrel{!}{=} x$ for every $x \in L$ iff $\delta_{b,a} = id$ for $b \in L$ with $b \oplus a = 0$, i.e., iff (K4) is fulfilled.

- $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\gamma)$ follows by (2.5).
- $(\gamma) \Rightarrow (\beta)$. $0 = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(\Theta b \Theta a) = a \oplus (b \oplus (\Theta b \Theta a))$. Hence by the definition of Θa it follows that $\Theta a = b \oplus (\Theta b \Theta a)$

A right loop (L, \oplus) is called **left alternative**, if $a \oplus (a \oplus b) = (a \oplus a) \oplus b$ for all $a, b \in L$.

(2.7) A right loop (L, θ) is left alternative, if and only if (K4') is fulfilled

Proof. $a \oplus (a \oplus b) = (a \oplus a) \oplus \delta_{a,a}(b) \stackrel{!}{=} (a \oplus a) \oplus b$, iff $\delta_{a,a}(b) = b$ for all $b \in L$, i.e., $\delta_{a,a} = id$.

- (2.8) Let (L, \oplus) be a right loop with (K3) and (K4). Then for $a, b, c \in L$:
- (a) $\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{-1} = \delta_{\Theta \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}}$.
- **(b)** $\delta_{a,b}^{-1} = \delta_{\Theta b}, \Theta a,$

Proof. (a). We set in (2.4) b= Θ a, hence $\delta_{a,\Theta a \oplus c} \circ \delta_{\Theta a,c} = \delta_{0,\delta_{a,b}(c)} \circ \delta_{a,\Theta a} \stackrel{\text{(K4)}}{=} id$. (b). We set c= Θ b in (2.4), hence id $\stackrel{\text{(K4)}}{=} \delta_{a,0} \circ \delta_{b,\Theta b} = \delta_{a \oplus b,\delta_{a,b}(\Theta b)} \circ \delta_{a,b}$. By (a) the inverse of the right side is $\delta_{\Theta a,a \oplus b} \circ \delta_{\Theta (a \oplus b),(a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(\Theta b)} = \delta_{\Theta a,a \oplus b} \circ \delta_{\Theta (a \oplus b),a} = id$. By (K1r) for every y∈L, there is an element b∈L with a \oplus b=y. Hence with x:= Θ a, $\delta_{x,y} \circ \delta_{\Theta y,\Theta x} = id$.

(2.9) Let (L,Θ) be a right loop which satisfies (K3), (K4) and (K5). Then for $a,b,c\in L$:

(a)
$$\delta_{a,b}(b \oplus a) = a \oplus b$$
. (f) $\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{\Theta b,b \oplus a}$

(b)
$$\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{\Theta a,\Theta b}$$
 (g) $\delta_{a,b}(b) = (a \oplus b) \Theta a$

$$(\mathbf{c}) \quad \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{-1} = \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}.$$

$$(\mathbf{h}) \quad \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{c}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c}} = \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c}}.$$

(d)
$$a \oplus (b \oplus c) = \delta_{a,b}((b \oplus a) \oplus c)$$
 (i) $\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a,z} \circ \delta_{z \oplus a, \Theta} z \oplus b \circ \delta_{\Theta z,b}$

(e)
$$\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a\oplus b,\Theta a}$$
 (j) $\Theta a \oplus \delta_{a,b}(b) = b \Theta \delta_{b,a}(a)$

 $\textbf{Proof. (a). By (2.5.b)} \textbf{a} \oplus \textbf{b} = \Theta \ \delta_{a,b} \ (\Theta \textbf{b} \oplus \Theta \textbf{a} \) \overset{\textbf{(K5)}}{=} \ \Theta \ \delta_{a,b} \ (\Theta (\textbf{b} \oplus \textbf{a} \)) \overset{\textbf{(K3)}}{=} \ \delta_{a,b} \ (\textbf{b} \oplus \textbf{a} \).$

(b) follows from (K5), (2.2c), and (2.5.c). (c) follows from (b) and (2.8.b).

(d).
$$a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(c) \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} \delta_{a,b}(b \oplus a) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(c) \stackrel{\text{(K3)}}{=} \delta_{a,b}((b \oplus a) \oplus c)$$
.

(e) follows from (2.8.a) and (c). (f). Put in (2.4) a = 0b, b = b and c = a and use (c).

(g). We have
$$(a \oplus b) \ominus a \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} \delta_{a \oplus b, \ominus a} (\ominus a \oplus (a \oplus b)) \stackrel{\text{(e)}}{=} \delta_{a,b} (b)$$
, using (2.6).

(h). By (2.4),
$$\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{c}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c}} = \delta_{\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b},\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\mathbf{(a)}}{=} \delta_{\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{a}),\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{c})} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{(2.2.c)}{=} \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{a},\mathbf{c}}$$

(i). Using (c), we write (h) in the form $\delta_{b\oplus a,c} = \delta_{b,a} \circ \delta_{a,b\oplus c} \circ \delta_{b,c}$ and replace b by Θ_z , c by b and a by $z\oplus a$. Since $\delta_{\Theta_z\oplus (z\oplus a),b} = \delta_{a,b}$ and $\delta_{\Theta_z,z\oplus a} = \delta_{a,z}$ by (f),(i) follows.

$$(\mathbf{j}). \ominus \mathbf{a} \oplus \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b}) \stackrel{(\mathbf{g})}{=} \ominus \mathbf{a} \oplus ((\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}) \ominus \mathbf{a}) \stackrel{(2.2.\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{c})}{=} \ominus \mathbf{a} \oplus (\mathbf{a} \oplus (\mathbf{b} \ominus \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} \mathbf{b} \ominus \delta_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a}).$$

(2.10) Let (L, \oplus) be a right loop. Then:

- (a) (K6) implies (K4').
- (b) (K6) and (K11) imply (I).
- (c) (K6) and (I) imply (K4).

Proof. (a). By (2.1) $id = \delta_{a,0} \stackrel{\text{(K6)}}{=} \delta_{a,a}$. (b). Let $a \oplus b = 0$, then $\delta_{b,a} \stackrel{\text{(K6)}}{=} \delta_{b,a \oplus b} = \delta_{b,0} \stackrel{\text{(2.1)}}{=} id$, hence $b = b \oplus (a \oplus b) = (b \oplus a) \oplus \delta_{b,a} (b) = (b \oplus a) \oplus b$, i.e., by (K11) $b \oplus a = 0$. (c). Let $a \oplus b = 0$. It follows that, $\delta_{a,b} \stackrel{\text{(K6)}}{=} \delta_{a,b \oplus a} = \delta_{a,0} \stackrel{\text{(2.1)}}{=} id$.

Remark 1. The inversions of the assertions of (2.10) are not true. Since there are examples of loops satisfying (K3),(K4), (K4') and (K5), but not (K6), i.e., there exists homogeneous loops with the symmetric property which are not K-loops (cf. (5.3)) Furthermore there are examples of right loops, fulfilling (I) and (K5), but not (K11) (cf. (5.1)). But we remark that in a right loop (K3), (K4), (K5), and (K6) imply (K11) and (I) (cf. (2.15)).

Kreuzer and Wefelscheid 85

(2.11) Let (L, θ) be a right loop. Then the Bol identity (KB) and $\delta_{a,b\theta a} = \delta_{b,a}^{-1}$ are equivalent.

 $\begin{aligned} &\textbf{Proof.} \ \ a \oplus (b \oplus (a \oplus c)) = \ a \oplus \Big((b \oplus a) \oplus \delta_{b,a}(c) \Big) = \Big(a \oplus (b \oplus a) \Big) \oplus \delta_{a,b \oplus a} \circ \delta_{b,a}(c) = \Big(a \oplus (b \oplus a) \Big) \oplus c \ \ \textbf{iff} \\ &\delta_{a,b \oplus a} \circ \delta_{b,a} = \textbf{id}, \ \ \textbf{i.e.} \ \ \delta_{a,b \oplus a} = \delta_{b,a}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$

By (2.9.c), it follows from (2.11):

(2.12) If for a right loop (L,\oplus) the properties (K3) (K4) and (K5) are valid or if $\delta_{a,b}^{-1} = \delta_{b,a}$, then (K6) and the Bol identity (KB) are equivalent.

(2.13) Let for a right loop (L, \oplus) the Bol identity (KB) be valid. Then:

- (a) (I) is fulfilled.
- (b) (K4) is fulfilled.
- (c) (K11) is fulfilled.

Proof. By (2.11), (KB) implies $\delta_{a,b\oplus a} = \delta_{b,a}^{-1}$. Now let $a\oplus b=0$. Hence by (2.1) $\delta_{a,b}^{-1} \stackrel{(KB)}{=} \delta_{b,a\oplus b} = \delta_{b,0} = \mathrm{id}$ and therefore $\delta_{a,b} = \mathrm{id}$, i.e. (b) is valid. By (2.5.a), (a) follows.

(c). By (b) and (2.6) the left inverse property is fulfilled. Let $a,b \in L$. For $y := (\Theta a) \oplus ((a \oplus b) \Theta a)$, we get $y \oplus a = ((\Theta a) \oplus ((a \oplus b) \Theta a)) \oplus a \stackrel{(KB)}{=} (\Theta a) \oplus ((a \oplus b) \oplus ((\Theta a) \oplus a)) = (\Theta a) \oplus (a \oplus b) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} b$. If for $y' \in L$ it holds $y' \oplus a = b$, then $y' \oplus a = y \oplus a$, hence $a \oplus (y' \oplus a) = a \oplus (y \oplus a)$ and $(a \oplus (y' \oplus a)) \Theta a = (a \oplus (y \oplus a)) \Theta a$. By (KB) we get $a \oplus y' = a \oplus (y' \oplus (a \ominus a)) \stackrel{(KB)}{=} (a \oplus (y' \oplus a)) \Theta a = (a \oplus (y \oplus a)) \Theta a \stackrel{(KB)}{=} a \oplus y$ and by (K1r) it follows y = y'.

This proof together with (2.9.g,j) gives also an explicit solution y of $y \oplus a = b$ for $a,b \in L$.

(2.14) In a right loop (L, θ) with the Bol identity (KB) the solution of $y \theta a = b$ can be written as: $y = (\Theta a) \theta ((a \theta b) \Theta a) = (\Theta a) \theta \delta_{a,b}(b) = b \Theta \delta_{b,a}(a)$

By (2.12) we get:

(2.15) A right loop with the properties (K3), (K4), (K5), and (K6) fulfills (K11).

Remark 2. There are examples of right loops with the properties (I) and (K5) which do not fulfill $(K1\ell)$ (cf (5.1)). A simple proof shows that this examples satisfy also (K4).

Remark 3. By (2.12), every K-loop is a Bol loop and a Bruck loop.

By [21, Theorem1] (cf. also [6, 19]):

- (2.16) Every Bruck loop (L, \oplus) with $x \oplus x \neq 0$ for every $x \in L \setminus \{0\}$ is a K-loop. It means that for a right loop (L, \oplus) with $x \oplus x \neq 0$ for every $x \in L \setminus \{0\}$, (KB) and (K5) imply (K1 ℓ), (K3), and (K6).
- (2.17) Let (L, \oplus) be a K-loop. Then for $a, b, x \in L$:
- (a) $\delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a \oplus b,b}$
- (b) $x \ominus a = b$ if and only if $x \ominus b = a$.

Proof. (a). By (K6), $\delta_{a,b} \stackrel{(2.9.c)}{=} \delta_{b,a}^{-1} \stackrel{(K6)}{=} \delta_{b,a\oplus b}^{-1} \stackrel{(2.9.c)}{=} \delta_{a\oplus b,b}$. (b). Let $x \ominus a = b$, then $x \ominus b = x \ominus (x \ominus a) \stackrel{(K5)}{=} x \oplus ((\ominus x) \oplus a) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} a$. On the other hand $x \ominus b = a$ implies $x \ominus a = x \ominus (x \ominus b) = b$.

(2.18) Let (L, \oplus) be a K-loop. With the new operation $a \square b := a \oplus \delta_{\Theta a, b}(b)$

for $a,b\in L$, (L,\Box) is a commutative loop.

Proof. We replace in (2.9.j) a by Θ a and use $\delta_{\Theta b,a} = \delta_{b,\Theta a}$ by (2.9.b). This implies $a \Box b = a \oplus \delta_{\Theta a,b}(b) = b \oplus \delta_{b,\Theta a}(a) = b \oplus \delta_{\Theta b,a}(a) = b \Box a$.

By (2.14) for $x := b \ominus a$ it follows $b = a \oplus \delta_{\bigoplus a, X}(x) = a \Box b$, hence $x = b \ominus a$ is a solution of $a \Box x = b$. Let for $y \in L$, $a \Box y = a \Box x = b$, Then $b = a \oplus \delta_{\bigoplus a, y}(y) = a \oplus \delta_{\bigoplus a, X}(x)$. Hence by (K1r) $\delta_{\bigoplus a, y}(y) = \delta_{\bigoplus a, X}(x)$. By (2.9.g), $((\bigoplus a) \oplus y) \oplus a = ((\bigoplus a) \oplus x) \oplus a$ and by (K1r) and (K11), y = x. Thus there exists an unique solution of $a \Box x = b$ and (L, \Box) is a loop.

3. LOOP OPERATIONS ON SUBSETS OF GROUPS

Let (G, \cdot) be a group with the neutral element e, and let A < G be a subgroup and $K \subset G$ be a subset of G with the following properties.

- (L1r) $G = K \cdot A$ is an exact decomposition, i.e. for every $a \in G$, there are unique elements $a \in K$ and $\alpha \in A$ with $a = a \cdot \alpha$.
- (L2) $e \in K$.

Remark 4. (L1r) is obviously equivalent to

(L1r')
$$G = \bigcup_{x \in K} x \cdot A$$
.

We remark that for any $z \in G$, $G = z \cdot G = \bigcup_{X \in K} z \cdot x \cdot A = \bigcup_{Y \in K'} y \cdot A$ for $K' := z \cdot K$. Hence if (L1r) is valid, we can always find a set K' with $G = \bigcup_{Y \in K'} y \cdot A$ and $e \in K'$, i.e. (L2) is fulfilled.

Remark 5. By (L1r) it follows that, $|K \cap A| = 1$, since $e = y \cdot \alpha \in K \cdot A$ for an element $y \in K$, hence $y = \alpha^{-1} \in A$. Let $z \in K \cap A$, then $z = y \cdot (y^{-1} \cdot z) = z \cdot e \in K \cdot A$ and (L1r) implies z = y. If we assume (L1r) and (L2), then $K \cap A = \{e\}$.

(3.1) Example of an exact decomposition $G=K\cdot A$ for subsets $K,A\subset G$ with $K\cap A=\emptyset$. (This example was found by J. Gräter [7] after a discussion in Luminy.)

Let G be a finite group with a subgroup U which is not normal, i.e. there exists an element $a \in G$ with $aU \neq Ua$. Then $gU \neq Ua$ for any $g \in U$, because the existence of an element $g_0 \in G$ with $g_0U = Ua$ would imply $g_0u_0 = a$ with an appropriate $u_0 \in U$ and $Ua = g_0U = au_0^{-1}U = aU$ in contradiction to the assumption.

Let $G = g_1 U \stackrel{.}{\cup} g_2 U \stackrel{.}{\cup} \dots \stackrel{.}{\cup} g_n U$ be the union of disjoint cosets. Then there exists $v_i \in U$ such that $g_i v_i \notin Ua$, since otherwise $g_i U \subset Ua$ and our finiteness condition would imply $|g_i U| = |Ua|$, hence $g_i U = Ua$, contradicting $g_i U \neq Ua$. Therefore we may assume $g_i \notin Ua$, and

$$G = Ga = g_1 Ua \dot{\cup} g_2 Ua \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} g_n Ua$$

is a disjoint decomposition of G with $K=\{g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_n\}$ and A=Ua. But clearly, in this example A is not a subgroup of G.

In the following let (L1r) and (L2) be always satisfied. Then obviously it holds $K \cap A = \{e\}$, since A is a subgroup. By (L1r) for a, $b \in K$ there are unique elements $z \in K$ and $d_{a,b} \in A$ with $a \cdot b = z \cdot d_{a,b}$. Therefore

$$\oplus : K \times K \rightarrow K, (a,b) \rightarrow a \oplus b = z = a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}$$

is a binary operation on K. We note: $a \cdot b = (a \oplus b) \cdot d_{a,b}$

(3.2) (K, \oplus) is a right loop.

Proof. For $a,b \in K$ by (L1r) there exists $x \in K$ and $\alpha \in A$ with $a^{-1} \cdot b = x \cdot \alpha$, hence $a \cdot x = b \cdot \alpha^{-1}$, i.e., $a \oplus x = b$. Let $y \in K$ with $a \oplus y = b$, i.e., $a \cdot y = b \cdot \beta^{-1}$ for $b \in A$. It follows $a \cdot y \cdot \beta = b = a \cdot x \cdot \alpha$,

hence $y \cdot \beta = x \cdot \alpha$. This implies by (L1r), x = y and $\alpha = \beta$. By (L2) $e \in K$ and $a = a \cdot e = a \oplus e = e \oplus a = e \cdot a$, i.e., e is the neutral element of (K, \oplus) .

Now we consider the following properties:

- (L11) $|K \cdot a \cap b \cdot A| = 1$ for all $a, b \in K$.
- (L3) $\alpha \cdot K \cdot \alpha^{-1} \subset K$ for every $\alpha \in A$.
- **(L4)** $K^{-1} \subset K$.
- (L5) If $a,b\in K$ and $\alpha\in A$ with $a\cdot b\cdot \alpha\in K$, then there exists $\beta\in A$ with $\beta\cdot b\cdot a=a\cdot b\cdot \alpha$.
- (LB) $a \cdot K \cdot a \subset K$ for every $a \in K$.
- (3.3) (LB) implies (L1 ℓ) and (L4).

Proof. For $a \in K$, by **(L1r)** there are $a' \in K$ and $\alpha \in A$ with $a^{-1} = a' \cdot \alpha$. By **(LB)**, $a' \cdot a \cdot a' = a' \cdot a \cdot a^{-1} \cdot \alpha^{-1} = a' \cdot \alpha^{-1} \in K$ and by **(L1r)** we get $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha = e$, i.e., $a^{-1} = a' \in K$. For $a, b \in K$ let $d_{a,b} \in A$ with $a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \in K$. By **(LB)** $a^{-1} \in K$ and $y := a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \in K$, hence $b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} = y \cdot a = (y \oplus a) \cdot d_{y,a}$ and therefore $b = y \oplus a$ by **(L1r)**. Now let $x \in K$ with $x \oplus a = b$, thus $x \cdot a = b \cdot \alpha$ with $\alpha \in K$. It follows by **(LB)** $a \cdot x \cdot a = a \cdot b \cdot \alpha \in K$, i.e. by **(L1r)**, $\alpha = d_{a,b}^{-1}$ and $x \cdot a = b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}$, hence x = y.

(3.4) For $a,b \in K$, $(a \oplus b)^2 = a \cdot b^2 \cdot a$ implies (L5).

Proof. $(a \oplus b)^2 = (a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1})^2 = a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \stackrel{!}{=} a \cdot b^2 \cdot a$ if and only if $a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} = d_{a,b} \cdot b \cdot a$, i.e., (L5) is fulfilled with $\beta = d_{a,b}$.

(3.5) Example. Let (L, θ) be a right loop with (K3) and (K4), and let D be a subgroup of the automorphism group of (L, θ) containing $\{\delta_{a,b}: a,b \in L\}$. For example let $D:=\langle \{\delta_{a,b}: a,b \in L\} \rangle$ be the subgroup which is generated by the automorphisms $\delta_{a,b}$ called the structure group of L. Then for $G:=L \times D$ and the operation

$$(\mathbf{a}, \alpha) \cdot (\mathbf{b}, \beta) := (\mathbf{a} \oplus \alpha(\mathbf{b}), \delta_{\mathbf{a}, \alpha(\mathbf{b})} \circ \alpha \circ \beta)$$
 (cf. [19, page 28]),

(G,) is a group with the properties (L1r), (L2), (L3), called the quasidirect product of L and D. For the centralizer

$$\begin{split} &C_G(\overline{L}) \text{=} \{(x,\alpha) \in G \colon (x,\alpha) \cdot (a,\mathrm{id}) \text{=} (a,\mathrm{id}) \cdot (x,\alpha) \text{ for every } a \in L \text{ } \} \\ &\text{of } \overline{L} \coloneqq L \times \{\mathrm{id}\} \text{ in } G, \text{ it holds } C_G(\overline{L}) \ \bigcap \ \{(0,\delta_{a,b}) : a,b \in L\} \text{=} \{(0,\mathrm{id})\}. \end{split}$$

 (G,\cdot) fulfilles $(L1\ell)$, if (L,\oplus) is a loop, i.e. if additionally $(K1\ell)$ is valid.

e = (0,id) is the neutral element and $(\alpha^{-1}(\Theta a), \alpha^{-1})$ is the inverse element of (a,α) , since $(a,\alpha) \circ (\alpha^{-1}(\Theta a), \alpha^{-1}) = (a \oplus (\Theta a), \delta_{a,\Theta a} \circ \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}) = (0,id)$ by **(K4)**, hence (G.) is a group.

(L1r): For $(0,\alpha),(0,\beta)\in G$, $(0,\alpha)\circ(0,\beta)=(0\oplus\alpha(0),\delta_{0,0}\circ\alpha\circ\beta)=(0,\alpha\circ\beta)$ by (2.1), i.e., A:= $\{(0,\alpha):\alpha\in D\}$ is a subgroup of (G,\cdot) which is isomorphic to (D,\circ) . For $K:=\{(a,id):a\in L\}\subset G$ we obtain $(a,\alpha)=(a,id)\cdot(0,\alpha)=(a\oplus id(0),\delta_{a,0}\circ id\circ\alpha)=(a,\alpha)\in K\cdot A$ (cf.(2.1)) and $(a,id),(0,\alpha)$ are unique, i.e. (L1r) is fulfilled.

Obviously (L2) is valid, i.e., $(0,id) \in K$.

(L3): Let $(0,\alpha) \in A$ and $(a,id) \in K$. Then $(0,\alpha) \cdot (a,id) \cdot (0,\alpha)^{-1} = (\alpha(a),\alpha) \cdot (0,\alpha^{-1}) = (\alpha(a),\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1}) = (\alpha(a),id) \in K$, since $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\delta_{\alpha(a),0} = id$ by (2.1). Hence (L3) is fulfilled.

(L11): For $(a,id),(b,id) \in K$, $K \cdot (a,id) = \{(x \oplus a, \delta_{X,a}) : x \in L\}$ and $(b,id) \cdot A = \{(b,\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$. By (K11) there is a unique element $x \in K$ with $x \oplus a = b$, hence also $\alpha = \delta_{X,a}$ is uniquely determined, i.e. $|K \cdot (a,id) \cap (b,id) \cdot A| = 1$ and (L11) is valid.

For $(0,\alpha)\in A$, we compute $(0,\alpha)\cdot(a,\mathrm{id})=(\alpha(a),\delta_{0,\alpha(a)}\circ\alpha)=(\alpha(a),\alpha)$ and $(a,\mathrm{id})\cdot(0,\alpha)=(a,\alpha)$. We have $(0,\alpha)\cdot(a,\mathrm{id})=(a,\mathrm{id})\cdot(0,\alpha)$ for every $a\in K$ if and only if $\alpha(a)=a$ for every $a\in K$, i.e. if $\alpha=\mathrm{id}$. Hence $C_G(K)\cap\{(0,\delta_{a,b}):a,b\in L\}=\{(0,\mathrm{id})\}$.

(3.6) (K,\oplus) satisfies $(K1\ell)$ if and only if $(L1\ell)$ is satisfied. Hence (K,\oplus) is a loop, if (L1r), $(L1\ell)$ and (L2) are fulfilled.

Proof. For $a,b\in K$, there exists an unique element $y\in K$ with $y\oplus a=b$, i.e., $y\cdot a=b\cdot \alpha$ with $\alpha\in A$ if and only if $|K\cdot a\cap b\cdot A|=1$.

If (L3) is valid, for $a,b \in K$ and $d_{a,b} \in A$ with $a \cdot b = (a \oplus b) \cdot d_{a,b}$,

$$\delta_{a,b} \colon K \to K \;,\;\; x \to \delta_{a,b}(x) \coloneqq \mathrm{d}_{a,b} \cdot x \cdot \mathrm{d}_{a,b}^{-1} \;\;,$$

is a bijective map with the property $\mathbf{a}\oplus(\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{x})=(\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b})\oplus\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}),$ since $\mathbf{a}\oplus(\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{a}\oplus(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}}^{-1})=\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\oplus\mathbf{x}}^{-1}$ and $(\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b})\oplus\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{a}\oplus\mathbf{b},\delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$.

We get $(a \oplus (b \oplus x)) \cdot d_{a,b \oplus x} \cdot d_{b,x} = a \cdot b \cdot x = ((a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)) \cdot d_{a \oplus b,\delta_{a,b}(x)} \cdot d_{a,b} \in K \cdot A$. Since by **(L1r)** the decomposition is exact, it follows $d_{a,b \oplus x} \cdot d_{b,x} = d_{a \oplus b,\delta_{a,b}(x)} \cdot d_{a,b}$. Hence:

(3.7) If (L3) is satisfied, then for every $a,b\in K$, $\delta_{a,b}$ is an automorphism of (K,\oplus) with the properties $a\oplus (b\oplus x)=(a\oplus b)\oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)$ and $d_{a,b\oplus x}\cdot d_{b,x}=d_{a\oplus b},\delta_{a,b}(x)\cdot d_{a,b}$.

Proof. It remains only to show that $\delta_{a,b}$ is an automorphism. Obviously $\delta_{a,b}(x) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(y) = d_{a,b} \cdot x \cdot y \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \cdot d_{\delta_{a,b}}^{-1}(x), \delta_{a,b}(y)$ and $\delta_{a,b}(x \oplus y) = d_{a,b} \cdot x \cdot y \cdot d_{x,y}^{-1} \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}$. We get by (L3), $\left(\delta_{a,b}(x) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(y)\right) \cdot d_{\delta_{a,b}(x),\delta_{a,b}(y)} = d_{a,b} \cdot x \cdot y \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} = \delta_{a,b}(x \oplus y) \cdot d_{a,b} \cdot d_{x,y} \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \in K \cdot A$ and by (L1r) it follows $\delta_{a,b}(x) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(y) = \delta_{a,b}(x \oplus y)$.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{Remark 6.} & \textbf{If } d_{a,b} \text{=e, obviously } \delta_{a,b} \text{=id. But for } \delta_{a,b} \text{=id, it need not be } d_{a,b} \text{=e. For } \\ \delta_{a,b} \text{=id we get only } d_{a,b} \in C_G(K) \text{=} \{ \mathfrak{x} \in G : \mathfrak{x} \cdot a = a \cdot \mathfrak{x} \text{ for every } a \in K \}. \end{array}$

Remark 7. Let (L11), (L2), and (L3) be fulfilled. Then (K, \oplus) is not associative if and only if $\{d_{a,b}: a,b \in K\} \not\subset C_G(K) = \{ \mathfrak{x} \in G: \mathfrak{x} \cdot a = a \cdot \mathfrak{x} \text{ for every } a \in K \}$. Since then there exist $a,b \in K$ with $\delta_{a,b} \neq id$ (cf. Remark 6) and by (3.7), (K, \oplus) is non associative.

(3.8) Theorem. Let A < G be a subgroup and $K \subset G$ be a subset of a group (G, \cdot) , fulfilling (L1r) and (L2). Then (K, \oplus) with $a \oplus b = a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}$ for $a, b \in K$ and $d_{a,b} \in A$ is a right loop. (Lx) imply (Kx) for $x \in \{1\ell, 3, 4, B\}$.

If (L4) is valid, then (L5) and (K5) are equivalent.

Hence (K,\oplus) is a Bruck loop if (L5) and (LB) are fulfilled, and a K-loop if (L3), (L5) and (LB) are valid.

Proof. The first part follows by (3.4), (3.6), (3.7), (3.9), (3.12), and (3.13). By (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain the second part.

Remark 8. If

- (*) $\{d_{a,b}: a,b \in K\} \cap C_G(K) = \{\mathfrak{x} \in G: \mathfrak{x} \cdot a = a \cdot \mathfrak{x} \text{ for every } a \in K\} = \{e\}$ then $\delta_{a,b} = \mathrm{id}$ if and only if $d_{a,b} = e$, and (Lx) and (Kx) are equivalent for $x \in \{3,4,B\}$.
- (3.9) (L4) implies (K4). If (*), then (L4) and (K4) are equivalent.

Kreuzer and Wefelscheid 91

Proof. For $a \in K$ let $b \in K$ and $\alpha \in A$ with $a^{-1} = b \cdot \alpha$ (cf. **(L1r)**). Then $e = a \cdot a^{-1} = a \cdot b \cdot \alpha$, hence $(a \oplus b) = e = a \cdot b \cdot \alpha^{-1} = a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}$. Therefore $a^{-1} \in K$ if and only if $\alpha = d_{a,b} = e$. It follows $\delta_{a,b} = id$. If **(*)**, then $\delta_{a,b} = id$ and $d_{a,b} = e$ are equivalent (cf. Remark 6).

(3.10) Let (L4) be valid. Then for $a,b \in K$:

(i)
$$\Theta a = a^{-1}$$
. (ii) $d_{a,b}^{-1} = d_{a^{-1},a\Theta b}$.

Let (L3) and (L4) be valid, then for $a,b \in K$:

(iii)
$$d_{a,b}^{-1} = d_{b^{-1},a^{-1}}$$
 (iv) $(a \oplus b)^{-1} = \delta_{a,b}(b^{-1} \oplus a^{-1})$

Proof. (i). Since $a^{-1} \in K$, $a \oplus a^{-1} = a \cdot a^{-1} = e$. (ii). Since **(L4)** implies **(K4)**, by **(2.6)** $b = a^{-1} \oplus (a \oplus b) = a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} \cdot d_{a^{-1},a \oplus b}^{-1}$ hence by **(L1r)** $d_{a,b}^{-1} \cdot d_{a^{-1},a \oplus b}^{-1} = e$. (iii). Since $d_{a,e} = e$ and $d_{b,b^{-1}} = e$ by **(L4)**, **(3.7)** implies $d_{a \oplus b,\delta_{a,b}(b^{-1})} \cdot d_{a,b} = d_{a,e} \cdot d_{b,b^{-1}} = e$. Hence $d_{a^{-1},a \oplus b} \stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{=} d_{a,b}^{-1} = d_{a \oplus b,\delta_{a,b}(b^{-1})} \stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{=} d_{(a \oplus b)^{-1},(a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(b^{-1})} = d_{(a \oplus b)^{-1},a}^{-1}$. (iv). $(a \oplus b)^{-1} = d_{a,b} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \stackrel{\text{(iiii)}}{=} d_{a,b} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \stackrel{\text{(iiii)}}{=} d_{a,b} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{=} d_{a,b}^{-1} \cdot d_{a,b}^{-1} = \delta_{a,b}(b^{-1} \oplus a^{-1})$.

(3.11) If $a \cdot a \in K$ for $a \in K$, then (K, \oplus) fulfilles (K4') and $a \oplus a = a \cdot a$.

Proof. By (L1r) for $a \in K$, $a \cdot a = (a \oplus a) \cdot d_{a,a}$. Hence $a \cdot a \in K$ implies $d_{a,a} = e$ and $\delta_{a,a} = id$.

(3.12) Let (L4) be valid and for $a \in K$ let $\Theta a = a^{-1} \in K$ be the right and left inverse of a with respect to Θ , according to (3.10). Then (L5) and (K5) are equivalent.

Proof. For $a,b\in K$, let $d_{a,b}\in A$ with $a\cdot b\cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}\in K$. Let (K5) be valid. Hence $(a^{-1}\oplus b^{-1})^{-1}=(a^{-1}\cdot b^{-1}\cdot d_{a-1,b-1}^{-1})^{-1}=d_{a-1,b-1}\cdot b\cdot a$ $\stackrel{(\underline{KS})}{=}a\oplus b=a\cdot b\cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}\in K$ implies the existence of $\beta\in A$ with $\beta\cdot b\cdot a=a\cdot b\cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}\in K$. Now we assume (L5). Let $\beta\in A$ with $\beta\cdot b\cdot a=a\cdot b\cdot d_{a,b}^{-1}=a\oplus b$. Then $a\oplus b=\left((\beta\cdot b\cdot a)^{-1}\right)^{-1}=\left(a^{-1}\cdot b^{-1}\cdot \beta^{-1}\right)^{-1}\in K$, and by (L4) $a^{-1}\cdot b^{-1}\cdot \beta^{-1}\in K$, and by (L1r) $a^{-1}\cdot b^{-1}\cdot \beta^{-1}=a^{-1}\oplus b^{-1}$. Hence $a\oplus b=\left(a^{-1}\oplus b^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.

(3.13) (LB) implies (KB). If (*), then (LB) and (KB) are equivalent.

Proof. For $a,b \in K$, $a \oplus (b \oplus a) = a \cdot b \cdot a \cdot d_{b,a}^{-1} \cdot d_{a,b \oplus a}^{-1} \in K$, hence $a \cdot b \cdot a \in K$ if and only if $d_{b,a} \cdot d_{a,b \oplus a} = e$, i.e., $d_{a,b \oplus a} = d_{b,a}^{-1}$. It follows $\delta_{a,b \oplus a} = \delta_{b,a}^{-1}$, which is equivalent to **(KB)** by **(2.11)**. If **(*)**, then $d_{a,b \oplus a} = d_{b,a}^{-1}$ and $\delta_{a,b \oplus a} = \delta_{b,a}^{-1}$ are equivalent.

4. CONSTRUCTION

Let (G,+) and (H,+) be commutative groups with the neutral elements $0 \in G$ and $0 \in H$, and let $U \le G$ be a subgroup of G. We consider the following maps

$$\mu: G \times G \times H \rightarrow U$$
 ; $(a,c,d) \rightarrow \mu(a,c,d) = \mu_{a,c,d}$

$$\circ: H \times U \rightarrow U \quad ; (b, \mu_{a,c,d}) \rightarrow b \circ \mu_{a,c,d}$$

with the properties for $a,c,x \in G$ and $b,d \in H$:

- (M1r) For every $t \in U$, $b \circ \mu_{a,c+t,d} = b \circ \mu_{a,c,d}$
- (M11) For every $t \in U$, $b \circ \mu_{a+t,c,d} = b \circ \mu_{a,c,d}$
- (M2) $0 \circ \mu_{a,c,d} = 0 = b \circ \mu_{a,0,0} = b \circ \mu_{0,0,d}$
- (MI) $b \circ \mu_{a,-a,-b} = 0$.
- (M3) $b \circ \mu_{a,c+x,d+y} = b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} + b \circ \mu_{a,x,y}$.
- (M4) $-b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} = (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,c,d}$
- (M5) $-b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} = (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,-c,-d}$
- (MB) $(b+d+b)\circ \mu_{a+c+a,x,y} = b\circ \mu_{a,x,y} + d\circ \mu_{c,x,y} + b\circ \mu_{a,x,y}$.
- (M) There are $u,v \in G$ and $h \in H$ with $h \circ \mu_{u,v,-h} \neq 0$.
- (4.1) i) (MB) implies (M4).
- ii) (M2), (M3),(M4) and (M5) imply $ord(b \circ \mu_{a,c,d}) \le 2$ for all $a,c \in G$ and all $b,d \in H$.

Proof. i. We set d=-b and c=-a in (MB), then $b \circ \mu_{a,x,y} = b \circ \mu_{a,x,y} + (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,x,y} + b \circ \mu_{a,x,y}$, hence $-b \circ \mu_{a,x,y} = (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,x,y}$. ii. By (M2), $0 = b \circ \mu_{a,0,0} = b \circ \mu_{a,c-c,d-d} \stackrel{\text{(M3)}}{=} b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} + b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} \stackrel{\text{(M4)}}{=} b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} - (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,-c,-d} \stackrel{\text{(M5)}}{=} b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} + b \circ \mu_{a,c,d}$.

We set $L := G \times H$ and define for $(a,b),(c,d) \in L$:

$$\oplus : L \times L \rightarrow L; (a,b) \oplus (c,d) := (a+c+b \circ \mu_{a,c,d}, b+d).$$

(4.2) Theorem. Let (M1r) and (M2) be fulfilled. Then (L, \oplus) is a right loop with the neutral element (0,0).

Proof. Let $(a,b),(c,d) \in L$. Then $(a,b) \oplus (x,y) = (c,d)$ has the solution y = -b+d and x = -a+c $-b \circ \mu_{a,-a+c,y}$, since $b \circ \mu_{a,-a+c,y} \in U$ and $\mu_{a,-a+c-b \circ \mu_{a,-a+c,y}}$, $y = \mu_{a,-a+c,y}$. We compute $(a,b) \oplus (x,y) = (a-a+c-b \circ \mu_{a,-a+c,y} + b \circ \mu_{a,-a+c,y}, b-b+d) = (c,d)$. We assume

that also (x,y) with y=-b+d is a solution, hence $(a,b)\oplus (x,-b+d)=(a+x+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y},d)=(c,d)$, i.e. $x'=-a+c-b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}$. We compute $-x'+x=b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}-b\circ\mu_{a,-a+c,y}\in U$, since $b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}$, $b\circ\mu_{a,-a+c,y}\in U$ and U is a group. Therefore there is a $t\in U$ with x'=x+t and with (M1r) we get $b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}=b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}=b\circ\mu_{a,-a+c,y}$, hence x=x' and the solution is unique. By (M2), $0\circ\mu_{0,a,b}=0=b\circ\mu_{a,0,0}$, hence $(0,0)\oplus (a,b)=(a+0\circ\mu_{0,a,b},b)=(a,b)=(a+b\circ\mu_{a,0,0},b)=(a,b)\oplus (0,0)$.

In the following let (M1r) and (M2) be always fulfilled, i.e. that (L, \oplus) is a right loop. (4.3) If $(M1\ell)$ is fulfilled, (L, \oplus) is a loop.

Proof. For $(a,b),(c,d)\in L$, the equation $(x,y)\oplus(a,b)=(c,d)$ has the unique solution y=d-b and $x=c-a-y\circ\mu_{C-a,a,b}$, since $y\circ\mu_{C-a-y\circ\mu_{C-a,a,b}}$, $a,b=y\circ\mu_{C-a,a,b}$ by (M11) and $(x,y)\oplus(a,b)=(c-a-y\circ\mu_{C-a,a,b}+a+y\circ\mu_{C-a,a,b},d-b+b)=(c,d)$.

- (4.4) Let (MI) be fulfilled.
- i) Then (L, \oplus) satisfies (I), and $\Theta(a, b) = (-a, -b)$ is the inverse of $(a, b) \in L$.
- ii) If (M) is valid, (L, \oplus) is not assosiative.

Proof. i. Since **(MI)**, $b \circ \mu_{a,-a,-b} = 0 = (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,a,b}$, thus $(-a,-b) \oplus (a,b) = ((-b) \circ \mu_{-a,a,b},0) = (0,0)$ and $(a,b) \oplus (-a,-b) = (b \circ \mu_{a,-a,-b},0) = (0,0)$. ii. By **(M)**, there exist $u,v \in G$ and $h \in H$ with $h \circ \mu_{u,v,-h} \neq 0$. Hence we get $(u,h) \oplus ((v,-h) \oplus (-v,h)) \stackrel{\text{(i)}}{=} (u,h) \oplus (0,0) = (u,h) \neq ((u,h) \oplus (v,-h)) \oplus (-v,h) = (u+v+h \circ \mu_{u,v,-h},0) \oplus (-v,h) \stackrel{\text{(M2)}}{=} (u+h \circ \mu_{u,v,-h},h)$.

(4.5) For G'= G×{0} and H'={0}×H, (G', \oplus) and (H', \oplus) are commutative subgroups of (L, \oplus) with L=G' \oplus H'. (G', \oplus) is isomorphic to (G,+) and (H', \oplus) is isomorphic to (H,+).

Proof. Let $(a,0),(c,0)\in G\times\{0\}$. Then $(a,0)\oplus(c,0)=(a+c+0\circ\mu_{a,c,0},0)\stackrel{(\underline{M2})}{=}(a+c,0)$. Hence $G\times\{0\}$ is closed under \oplus and $f\colon G\times\{0\} \to G$ is an isomorphism of $(G\times\{0\},\oplus)$ onto (G,+) and $(G\times\{0\},\oplus)$ is a subgroup of (L,\oplus) . For $(0,b),(0,d)\in\{0\}\times H$, it holds $(0,b)\oplus(0,d)=(b\circ\mu_{0,0,d},b+d)\stackrel{(\underline{M2})}{=}(0,b+d)\in\{0\}\times H$. Just as $(\{0\}\times H,\oplus)$ is isomorphic to (H,+). Furthermore for $(a,b)\in L$ it holds $(a,0)\oplus(0,b)=(a+0\circ\mu_{a,0,b},b)\stackrel{(\underline{M2})}{=}(a,b)\in G'\oplus H'$.

(4.6) Let (MI) be valid. Then (L,⊕) fulfills (K5) if and only if (M5) is fulfilled.

Proof. By (4.4.i), $\Theta((a,b)\oplus(c,d)) = (-a-c-b\circ\mu_{a,c,d}, -(b+d)) \stackrel{!}{=} (-a-c+(-b)\circ\mu_{-a,-c,-d}, -b-d) = (-a,-b)\oplus(-c,-d) = (\Theta(a,b))\oplus(\Theta(c,d))$, if and only if (M5) $-b\circ\mu_{a,c,d} = (-b)\circ\mu_{-a,-c,-d}$ is valid.

Now we assume additionaly the properties (M11) and (M3). For $a = (a,b), b = (c,d) \in L$ let

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{a},\dot{\boldsymbol{b}}} \colon \ L \to L \ ; \ \ (\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) \to (\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{d} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y}} - (\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{d}) \circ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})$$

(4.7) For all $a,b,\xi \in L$, $a \oplus (b \oplus \xi) = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(\xi)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Proof. For } \mathfrak{a}\text{=}(a,b), \mathfrak{b}\text{=}(c,d), \mathfrak{x}\text{=}(x,y), \mathfrak{a}\text{\oplus}(\mathfrak{b}\text{\oplus}\mathfrak{x}) \overset{(\text{M1r})}{=} (a\text{+}c\text{+}x\text{+}d\circ\mu_{c,x,y}\text{+}b\circ\mu_{a,\,c\text{+}x,d\text{+}y},b\text{+}d\text{+}y) \\ \overset{(\text{M3})}{=} \left(a\text{+}c\text{+}x\text{+}d\circ\mu_{c,x,y}\text{+}b\circ\mu_{a,c,d}\text{+}b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}\text{-}(b\text{+}d)\circ\mu_{a\text{+}c,x,y}\text{+}(b\text{+}d)\circ\mu_{a\text{+}c,x,y},\,b\text{+}d\text{+}y\right) \overset{(\text{M1}\ell)}{=} \\ \text{=} (\mathfrak{a}\text{\oplus}\mathfrak{b})\text{\oplus}\delta_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{x}) \end{aligned}$$

- (4.8) Theorem. Let (M1r), $(M1\ell)$, (M2) and (M3) be fulfilled.
- i) Then (L, \oplus) is a WK-loop.
- ii) (L, \oplus) fulfills (KB) if and only if (MB) is fulfilled.
- iii) Let (MI) be valid. Then (L, \oplus) fulfills (K4) if and only if (M4) is fulfilled.
- iv) Let (MI) be valid. (L,⊕) is a Bruck loop if and only if (M5) and (MB) are fulfilled.

Proof. i. By (4.3), (L, θ) is a loop. For all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in L$, the map $\delta_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}}$ is an automorphism of (L, θ) , since for $(x,y), (z,w) \in L$, $\delta_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}} \Big((x,y) \oplus (z,w) \Big) = \delta_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}} \Big((x+z+y\circ \mu_{x,z,w}, y+w) \Big) \stackrel{(\underline{\mathbf{M1r}}}{=} \Big(x+z+y\circ \mu_{x,z,w}+b\circ \mu_{a,x+z,y+w}+d\circ \mu_{c,x+z,y+w} - (b+d)\circ \mu_{a+c,x+z,y+w}, y+w \Big) \stackrel{(\underline{\mathbf{M3}})}{=} \Big(x+z+y\circ \mu_{x,z,w}+b\circ \mu_{a,x,y}+b\circ \mu_{a,z,w}+d\circ \mu_{c,x,y}+d\circ \mu_{c,z,w} - (b+d)\circ \mu_{a+c,x,y} - (b+d)\circ \mu_{a+c,z,w}, y+w \Big) \stackrel{(\underline{\mathbf{M1r}},\ell)}{=} \delta_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}} \Big((x,y) \Big) \oplus \delta_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}} \Big((z,w) \Big).$

ii. We compute $(a,b) \oplus [(c,d) \oplus ((a,b) \oplus (x,y))]^{(\underline{\mathbf{M1r}})}$ $(a+c+a+x+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+d\circ\mu_{c,a+x,b+y}+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+d\circ\mu_{c,a+x,b+y}+b\circ\mu_{a,c+a+x,d+b+y},b+d+b+y) \stackrel{!}{=} [(a,b) \oplus ((c,d) \oplus (a,b))] \oplus (x,y)^{(\underline{\mathbf{M1r}},\ell)}$ $(a+c+a+x+d\circ\mu_{c,a,b}+b\circ\mu_{a,c+a,d+b}+(b+d+b)\circ\mu_{a+c+a,x,y},b+d+b+y)$ if and only if $b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+d\circ\mu_{c,a+x,b+y}+b\circ\mu_{a,c+a+x,d+b+y} \stackrel{(\underline{\mathbf{M3}})}{=} b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+d\circ\mu_{c,a,b}+d\circ\mu_{c,x,y}+b\circ\mu_{a,c+a,d+b}+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y} \stackrel{!}{=} d\circ\mu_{c,a,b}+b\circ\mu_{a,c+a,d+b}+(b+d+b)\circ\mu_{a+c+a,x,y}$. This is correct, i.e. **(KB)** is correct, if and only if **(MB)** $(b+d+b)\circ\mu_{a+c+a,x,y}=b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+d\circ\mu_{c,x,y}+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}$ is fulfilled.

iii. Since $\Theta \mathfrak{a} = \Theta(a,b) = (-a,-b)$ by (4.4.i), we get $\delta_{\mathfrak{a},\Theta \mathfrak{a}}((x,y)) = (x+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+(-b)\circ\mu_{-a,x,y}-(b-b)\circ\mu_{a-a,x,y},y) \stackrel{(M2)}{=} (x+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+(-b)\circ\mu_{-a,x,y},y) \stackrel{!}{=} (x,y)$, if and only if $-b\circ\mu_{a,x,y} = (-b)\circ\mu_{-a,x,y}$.

- iv) follows by (4.6) and ii).
- (4.9) Let (M1r), (M1 ℓ), (M1), (M2), (M3), (M5) and (MB) be fulfilled. Then (L, \oplus) is a K-loop.

Kreuzer and Wefelscheid 95

Proof. Since (4.6) and (4.7.i), we have only to prove that (K6), $\delta_{a,b\oplus a} = \delta_{a,b}$ is fulfilled. We compute $\delta_{a,b\oplus a}((x,y)) \stackrel{(\underline{M}1\ell)}{=} (x+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+(d+b)\circ\mu_{c+a,x,y}-(b+d+b)\circ\mu_{a+c+a,x,y},y) \stackrel{!}{=} \delta_{a,b}((x,y)) = (x+b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}+d\circ\mu_{c,x,y}-(b+d)\circ\mu_{a+c,x,y},y)$, if $(d+b)\circ\mu_{c+a,x,y}+(b+d)\circ\mu_{a+c,x,y} = 2\cdot((b+d)\circ\mu_{a+c,x,y}) \stackrel{!}{=} d\circ\mu_{c,x,y}+(b+d+b)\circ\mu_{a+c+a,x,y} \stackrel{(\underline{M}B)}{=} 2\cdot(b\circ\mu_{a,x,y}) + 2\cdot(d\circ\mu_{c,x,y})$. Since (4.1.ii), both sides of the equation are 0, hence (K6) is fulfilled.

5. EXAMPLES

Using the Theorems (4.2) and (4.8), we give examples of loops with additionally properties. If the map $\mu: G \times G \times H \to U$, $(a,c,d) \to \mu_{a,c,d}$ of section 4 depends only on $a,c \in G$ and not on $d \in H$, i.e. $\mu_{a,c,d} = \mu_{a,c,v}$ for every $y \in H$, then we denote these map with

$$\lambda: G \times G \to U; (a,c) \to \lambda_{a,c}.$$

Let (G,+) and (H,+) be commutative groups and let always T be a subgroup of G with index 2, i.e. for every $a \in G$ it follows $a+a \in G$. Let $m \in T$ be an element of order 2. Then $U = \{0,m\}$ is a subgroup of G of order 2 with $U \in T$.

Let always $L := G \times H$ and for $(a,b),(c,d) \in L$: $(a,b) \oplus (c,d) := (a+c+b \circ \lambda_{a,c},b+d)$.

(5.1) Example of a right loop with (I) and (K5)

We define

$$\lambda: G \times G \rightarrow \{0,m\}$$
; $(a,c) \rightarrow \lambda_{a,c} := \begin{cases} m & \text{for a=0 and } c \notin T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Let V be a subgroup of H with index 2, i.e. for every $b \in H$ it follows $b+b \in V$.

$$\circ: \ \mathsf{H} \times \{0, \mathsf{m}\} \to \{0, \mathsf{m}\} \ ; \ (\mathsf{b}, \lambda_{\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{c}}) \to \ \mathsf{b} \circ \lambda_{\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{c}} \coloneqq \left\{ \begin{matrix} \lambda_{\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{c}} & \mathsf{for} & \mathsf{b} \notin \mathsf{V} \\ 0 & \mathsf{for} & \mathsf{b} \in \mathsf{V} \end{matrix} \right.$$

Then (M), (M1r), (M2), (M1), and (M5) are fulfilled, but not $(M1\ell)$, i.e. (L, \oplus) is a non-associative right loop with (I), and (K5) which is not a loop.

Proof. (M): Let $h \in H \setminus V$ and $u \in G \setminus T$, then $h \circ \lambda_{0,u} = m \neq 0$. (M1r): Since U is a group, we get for $t \in U \subset T$, $c+t \in T$ iff $c \in T$ and $\lambda_{a,c} = \lambda_{a,c+t}$. Not (M1 ℓ): For $c \in G \setminus T$ and $b \in H \setminus V$, $b \circ \lambda_{0,c} = m \neq 0 = b \circ \lambda_{m,c}$. (M2): Since $0 \in T$ and $0 \in V$, $b \circ \lambda_{a,0} = b \circ 0 = 0 = 0 \circ \lambda_{a,c}$. (M1): If $a = 0 \in G \setminus T$, $\lambda_{0,0} = 0$, and if $a \neq 0$, also $\lambda_{a,-a} = 0$, hence $b \circ \lambda_{a,-a} = 0$. (M5): Since T and $\{0\}$ are subgroups, obviously $\lambda_{a,c} = \lambda_{-a,-c}$. Because $b \in V$ iff $-b \in V$ and -m = m, it follows $-b \cdot \lambda_{a,c} = b \circ \lambda_{a,c} = (-b) \circ \lambda_{a,c} = (-b) \circ \lambda_{-a,-c}$.

Hence by (4.2) and (4.4.ii), (L, θ) is a right loop with (I) and (K5). Now for $a \in G \setminus T$ and $b \in H \setminus V$ we consider $(x,y) \in (a,0) = (a,b)$, hence necessarily y = b and $x + a + b \circ \lambda_{X,a} = a$. If x = 0, then $0 + a + b \circ \lambda_{0,a} = a + m \neq a$, and if $x \neq 0$, then $\lambda_{X,a} = 0$, hence $x + a + b \circ \lambda_{X,a} = x + a \neq a$. Therefore $(x,y) \in (a,0) = (a,b)$ has no solution.

(5.2) Example of a WK-loop with (I), (K4), and (K5).

We define

$$\lambda\colon G\times G\to \{0,m\}\ ;\ (a,c)\to \lambda_{a,c}\coloneqq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} m & \text{for a}\in T \text{ and } c\notin T\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Let $V \subseteq H$ be an arbitrary subset with $0 \in V$ and -V = V. We define

$$\circ: \ H \times \{0,m\} \to \{0,m\} \ ; \ (b,\lambda_{a,c}) \to b \circ \lambda_{a,c} \coloneqq \left\{ \begin{matrix} \lambda_{a,c} \ \text{for } b \notin V \\ 0 \ \text{for } b \in V \end{matrix} \right.$$

Then (M),(M1r), $(M1\ell)$,(M1),(M2),(M3),(M4) and (M5) are fulfilled, i.e. (L,\oplus) is a non-associative WK-loop with (I), (K4), and (K5).

- i) If there is an element $b \in H$ with $b + b \notin V$, then (MB) is not fulfilled.
- ii) If V is subgroup of H with index 2, i.e. for every $b \in H$ it holds $b+b \in V$, then (MB) is fulfilled.

Proof. (M): Let b∈H\V and c∈G\T, then bo $\lambda_{0,c}$ = m≠0. (M1r) and (M1ℓ): Since U is a group, we get for t∈U⊂T a+t∈T iff a∈T, and c∉T iff c+t∉T, hence $\lambda_{a+t,c}$ = $\lambda_{a,c}$ = $\lambda_{a,c+t}$. (M2): Since 0∈T and 0∈V, bo $\lambda_{a,0}$ =bo0=0=0o $\lambda_{a,c}$. (MI): Clearly a∈T iff -a∈T, hence $\lambda_{a,-a}$ =0 and bo $\lambda_{a,-a}$ =0. (M3): We have to show $\lambda_{a,c+x}$ = $\lambda_{a,c}$ + $\lambda_{a,x}$. Let a∈T. If c,x∈T, then a+c∈T, hence 0= $\lambda_{a,c+x}$ = $\lambda_{a,c}$ + $\lambda_{a,x}$ =0+0. If c∈T and x∉T or c∉T and x∈T, respectively, then c+x∉T, hence m= $\lambda_{a,c+x}$ = $\lambda_{a,c}$ + $\lambda_{a,x}$ =m. If c,x∉T, then c+x∈T, since T has index 2. Thus 0= $\lambda_{a,c+x}$ = $\lambda_{a,c}$ + $\lambda_{a,x}$ =m+m=0. (M4) and (M5): Since T is a group, obviously $\lambda_{a,c}$ == $\lambda_{-a,-c}$ = $\lambda_{-a,c}$. Because b∈V iff -b∈V and -m=m, it follows -b· $\lambda_{a,c}$ =bo $\lambda_{a,c}$ =(-b)o $\lambda_{a,c}$ =(-b)o $\lambda_{-a,c}$ =(-b)o $\lambda_{-a,c}$. Hence by (4.4.ii) and (4.8), (L,⊕) is a WK-loop with (I) (K4), and (K5).

(MB): Since T is a subgroup with index 2, a+c+a \in T iff $c \in$ T. Hence (MB) is equivalent to $(b+d+b)\circ\lambda_{C,X}=d\circ\lambda_{C,X}$, since ord m=2. Let b \in H with b+b \in V and set d=0, c=0, x \in G\T, then $(b+d+b)\circ\lambda_{C,X}=(b+b)\circ\lambda_{0,X}=m\neq 0=0\circ\lambda_{C,X}$, i.e., (MB) is not valid. If V is a subgroup with b+b \in V for every b \in H, then b+d+b \in V iff d \in V, hence $(b+d+b)\circ\lambda_{C,X}=d\circ\lambda_{C,X}$ and (MB) is fulfilled.

(5.3) Corollary. There exist WK-loops fulfilling (K4) and (K5), but not (K6). For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \ge 3$ set for example $G := \mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $T := 2\mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $U := \{0, 2n\}$ and set $H := \mathbb{Z}_{k}$, $V = \{0\}$.

Proof. Obviously T is a subgroup of G with index 2, ord U = 2 and V = -V. For $1 \in H$, clearly $1+1=2 \notin V$. Hence by (5.2.i) the assertion follows.

(5.4) Corollary. Let $n,k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $G := \mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $T := 2\mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $U := \{0,2n\}$, $H := \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, $V = 2\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$. Then (L, \oplus) is a K-loop and a Bruck loop of order 8nk.

Proof. Obviously T is a subgroup of G with index 2, ord U = 2 and V is a subgroup with index 2. Hence by (5.2.ii) the assertion follows.

Now we consider the situation that the map $\mu: G \times G \times H \to U$; $(a,c,d) \to \mu_{a,c,d}$ of section 4 depends on $d \in H$.

(5.5) Example of a K-loop and a Bruck loop.

Let V be a subgroup of H with index 2. We define the following maps

$$\begin{split} \mu \colon G \times G \times H &\to \{0,m\} \ ; \ (a,c,d) \ \to \mu_{a,c,d} \coloneqq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m & \text{for } d \in V \text{ and } c \notin T \\ m & \text{for } d \notin V \text{ and } a + c \notin T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \circ \colon H \times \{0,m\} \to \{0,m\} \ ; \ (b,\mu_{a,c,d}) \to b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} \coloneqq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{a,c,d} & \text{for } b \notin V \\ 0 & \text{for } b \in V \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Then (L, \oplus) is a non-associative Bruck and K-loop.

Proof. (M): Let $h \in H \setminus V$ and $c \in G \setminus T$, then $h \circ \mu_{0,c,-h} = m \neq 0$. (M1r) and (M1 ℓ): For $t \in U \subset T$, $a \in T$ iff $a+t \in T$, $c \in T$ iff $c+t \in T$ and $a+c \in T$ iff $a+c+t \in T$. Hence $\mu_{a+t,c,d} = \mu_{a,c,d} = \mu_{a,c+t,d}$. (M2): Since $0 \in T$ and $0 \in V$, $b \circ \mu_{a,0,0} = b \circ 0 = 0 = b \circ \mu_{0,0,d} = 0 \circ \mu_{a,c,d}$. (MI): For $a \in G$ we get $0 = a-a \in T$, hence $\mu_{a,-a,-b} = 0$ if $b \notin V$ and $b \circ \mu_{a,-a,-b} = 0$, if $b \in V$.

(M3): Let $d,y \in V$. Since ord m = 2, $\mu_{a,c,d} + \mu_{a,x,y} = m$, iff $c \in T$ and $x \notin T$ or $c \notin T$ and $x \in T$, i.e. iff $c + x \notin T$ and $\mu_{a,c+x,d+y} = m$. Now let $d \in V$, $y \notin V$. Then $\mu_{a,c+x,d+y} = m$, iff $a + c + x \notin T$, i.e. either $c \notin T$ or $a + x \notin T$. On the other hand $\mu_{a,c,d} = m$ iff $c \notin T$, and $\mu_{a,x,y} = m$ iff $a + x \notin T$, thus $\mu_{a,c,d} + \mu_{a,x,y} = m$, iff $a + c + x \notin T$. In the same way we get $\mu_{a,c,d} + \mu_{a,x,y} = \mu_{a,c+x,d+y}$ for $d \notin V$ and $y \in V$. The last case is $d,y \notin V$. Then $\mu_{a,c+x,d+y} = m$, iff $c + x \notin T$, i.e. iff $2a + c + x \notin T$. On the other hand $\mu_{a,c,d} + \mu_{a,x,y} = m$, iff either $a + c \notin T$ or $a + x \notin T$, i.e. $2a + c + x \notin T$. Hence (M3) is valid.

(M4) and (M5): Since T and V are groups, $\mu_{a,c,d} = \mu_{-a,c,d} = \mu_{-a,-c,-d}$. Because ord m=2 and V is a group, it follows $-b \circ \mu_{a,c,d} = (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,c,d} = (-b) \circ \mu_{-a,-c,-d}$. (MB): Since T and V are subgroups with index 2, $(b+d+b) \in V$ iff $d \in V$, and $a+c+a \in T$ iff $c \in T$, hence $(b+d+b) \circ \mu_{a+c+a,x,y} = d \circ \mu_{c,x,y}$. On the other hand $d \circ \mu_{c,x,y} + b \circ \mu_{a,x,y} + d \circ \mu_{c,x,y} = b \circ \mu_{a,x,y}$, because ord m=2.

(5.6) Corollary. Let $n, K \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $G := \mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $T := 2\mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $U := \{0, 2n\}$, $H := \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, $V = 2\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$. Then (L, \oplus) is a K-loop and a Bruck loop of order 8nk.

Remark 9. In [24, (4.7)] it is shown that for $k,n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\neq 2n$ and for $G=\mathbb{Z}_{4n}$, $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, the K-loops of example (5.2.ii) and (5.5) are non-isomorphic.

6. K-LOOPS OF ORDER 8

The idea of the following example (6.1) is due to H. Zassenhaus and can be found by G. Bol in [1, p. 430]. For the case p=2, this example is mentioned by D. A. Robinson [26, Example 2.2, p. 346] (cf. [21, (1.7)]). Also T. Kepka proves in [12] in a general context that the following construction defines a Bruck loop.

(6.1) Example. For a prime p we consider the finite ring $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$. Let $L := \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then for

$$\oplus: L \times L \to L, (a_1, a_2, a_3) \oplus (b_1, b_2, b_3) := (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3 + a_1 b_2 (a_2 + b_2)).$$

(L,⊕) is a Bruck and K-loop of order p³ in which every element has order p.

For
$$a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$$
, $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in L$, $\delta_{a,b}(x) = (x_1, x_2, x_3 + (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)x_2)$.

Proof. Since by [12], (L, \oplus) is a Bruck loop, i.e. in particular the Bol identity is valid, by **(2.12)** we have only to prove **(K3)** and **(K4)**. The third coordinate of $(a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)$ is $a_3 + b_3 + x_3 + a_1b_2(a_2 + b_2) + (a_1 + b_1)x_2(a_2 + b_2 + x_2) + (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)x_2 = a_3 + b_3 + x_3 + a_1b_2(a_2 + b_2) + a_1x_2(a_2 + b_2 + x_2) + b_1x_2(b_2 + x_2) + a_1b_2x_2$, and the third coordinate of $a \oplus (b \oplus x)$ is $a_3 + b_3 + x_3 + b_1x_2(b_2 + x_2) + a_1(b_2 + x_2)(a_2 + b_2 + x_2) = a_3 + b_3 + x_3 + b_1x_2(b_2 + x_2) + a_1x_2(a_2 + b_2 + x_2) + a_1b_2(a_2 + b_2) + a_1b_2x_2 = (a \oplus b) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(x)$. Obviously we have $\delta_{a,b}(x \oplus y) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3 + x_1y_2(x_2 + y_2) + (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)(x_2 + y_2) = \delta_{a,b}(x) \oplus \delta_{a,b}(y)$. For $b = (-a_1, -a_2, -a_3)$ we have $a \oplus b = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \oplus (-a_1, -a_2, -a_3) = 0$ and $\delta_{a,b}(x) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, i.e. **(K4)** holds.

(6.2) There are at most three non-isomorphic non-associative K-loops of order 8.

Proof. Since every K-loop is a Bol loop, we can use a result of R. P. Burn, who shows in [4] that there are exactly six non-isomorphic non-associative Bol loops of order 8. (Burn uses the dual Bol-identity ((a+b)+c)+b=a+((b+c)+b).) In [4, p. 382] he gives representations Π_1,\ldots,Π_6 of these Bol loops. We show now that Π_1,Π_4 , and Π_5 do not fulfil (K5), hence there remains at most three non-associative K-loops of order 8. Burn gives a representation of a Bool loop $B=\{R_1,R_2,\ldots,R_8\}$ as a subset of the symmetric group (S_8,\circ) . With R_i he denotes the permutation mapping 1 to i and

Kreuzer and Wefelscheid 99

 $R_i \circ R_j = R_{R_j(i)} \in B$. In all his representations Π_1, Π_4, Π_5 it holds $R_2 = (1234)(5678)$ and $R_2^{-1} = R_4 = (1432)(5876)$.

In Π_1 : $R_5 = (1537)(2648)$, $R_5^{-1} = R_7 = (1735)(2846)$ $R_6 = (1638)(2547)$ and $R_6^{-1} = R_8 = (1836)(2745)$. Hence $(R_2 \circ R_5)^{-1} = R_6^{-1} = R_8 \neq R_2^{-1} \circ R_5^{-1} = R_4 \circ R_7 = R_6$.

In Π_4 : $R_5 = (15)(26)(37)(48) = R_5^{-1}$, $R_6 = (16)(27)(38)(45) = R_6^{-1}$ and $R_8 = (18)(25)(36)(47)$. Hence $(R_2 \circ R_5)^{-1} = R_6^{-1} = R_6 \neq R_2^{-1} \circ R_5^{-1} = R_4 \circ R_5 = R_8$.

In Π_5 : $R_5 = (15)(26)(37)(48) = R_5^{-1}$, $R_6 = (16)(25)(38)(47) = R_6^{-1}$ and $R_8 = (18)(27)(36)(45)$. Hence $(R_2 \circ R_5)^{-1} = R_6^{-1} = R_6 \neq R_2^{-1} \circ R_5^{-1} = R_4 \circ R_5 = R_8$.

(6.3) Theorem. There exists exactly three non-associative non-isomorphic K-loops of order 8.

Proof. By (6.2) there are at most three non-associative K-loops of order 8. Now we give three non-isomorphic examples. For p=2 in (6.1) a K-loop L_1 of order 8 is given in which every element has order 2. For n=k=1, in (5.4) and in (5.6) K-loops L_2 and L_3 are constructed, both having elements with order 4, hence L_2, L_3 are not isomorphic to L_1 . Further in [24, (4.7)] it is shown, that L_2 and L_3 are not isomorphic (cf. Remark 9 in section 5.).

Remark 10. For p=2, the example (6.1) is isomorphic to the Bruck loop Π_6 of Burn [4, p. 382], for n=k=1, example (5.4) is isomorphic to Π_2 , and example (5.6) is isomorphic to Π_3 of Burn.

7. AUTOMORPHISMS OF K-LOOPS

Let (L, \oplus) be a right loop fulfilling (K3) and (K4), and let $D := \langle \{\delta_{a,b} \in Aut(L, \oplus) : a,b \in L\} \rangle$ its so called structure group. With the operation \cdot of example (3.5), the quasidirect product $G := L \times D$ is a group and the connection between $Aut(L, \oplus)$ and $Aut(G, \cdot)$ is as follows:

(7.1) Let (L, \oplus) be a right loop with (K3) and (K4). Then each automorphism $\alpha \in Aut(L, \oplus)$ can be extended to an automorphism $\overline{\alpha}$ of the involved quasidirect product $G = L \times D$ with $\overline{\alpha}((k, \tau)) = (\alpha(k), \alpha \tau \alpha^{-1})$ for $(k, \tau) \in G$, $\overline{\alpha}(L \times \{id\}) = L \times \{id\}$ and $\overline{\alpha}(\{0\} \times D) = \{0\} \times D$.

Vice versa any automorphism $\overline{\gamma} \in \text{Aut}(G,\cdot)$ with the properties $\overline{\gamma}(L \times \{id\}) = L \times \{id\}$ and $\overline{\gamma}(\{0\} \times D) = \{0\} \times D$ implies an automorphism γ of (L, \oplus) by simply setting $\gamma(a) = b$ for $\overline{\gamma}((a \times \{id\})) = b \times \{id\}$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(L, \oplus)$ we have $\alpha \delta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \alpha^{-1} = \delta_{\alpha(\mathbf{a}), \alpha(\mathbf{b})}$ by (2.2), hence $\alpha D \alpha^{-1} = D$.

Obviously $\overline{\alpha}$ is a bijection. Now for $g_i = (k_i, \tau_i) \in G$, i = 1, 2 we compute $\overline{\alpha}(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \overline{\alpha}(\left(k_1 \oplus \tau_1(k_2), \delta_{k_1, \tau_1(k_2)} \tau_1 \tau_2\right)) = \left(\alpha(k_1) \oplus \alpha \tau_1(k_2), \alpha \delta_{k_1, \tau_1(k_2)} \alpha^{-1} \alpha \tau_1 \alpha^{-1} \alpha \tau_2 \alpha^{-1}\right) = \left(\alpha(k_1) \oplus (\alpha \tau_1 \alpha^{-1}) \alpha(k_2), \delta_{\alpha(k_2), \alpha \tau_1} \alpha^{-1} \alpha(k_2) \alpha \tau_1 \alpha^{-1} \alpha \tau_2 \alpha^{-1}\right) = \overline{\alpha}(g_1) \cdot \overline{\alpha}(g_2)$, i.e. $\overline{\alpha}$ is an automorphism. Furthermore $\overline{\alpha}(L \oplus \{id\}) = (\alpha(L) \oplus \{id\}) = (L \times \{id\})$ and $\overline{\alpha}(\{0\} \times D) = \{0\} \oplus \alpha D \alpha^{-1} = \{0\} \times D$. Now let $\overline{\gamma} \in Aut(G, \cdot)$ with the above properties. Then $\overline{\gamma}((0, \tau)) = (0, \tau')$ for a $\tau' \in D$ and $\overline{\gamma}((k, \tau)) = \overline{\gamma}((k, id) \cdot (0, \tau)) = \overline{\gamma}((k, id)) \cdot \overline{\gamma}((0, \tau)) = (\gamma(k), id) \cdot (0, \tau') = (\gamma(k), \tau')$. Hence for $k_1, k_2 \in L$, $\left(\gamma(k_1 \oplus k_2), \delta_{k_1}, k_2\right) = \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_1 \oplus k_2, \delta_{k_1}, k_2\right)) = \overline{\gamma}((k_1, id) \cdot (k_2, id)) = \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_1 id\right)) \cdot \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_2, id\right)) = \left(\gamma(k_1, id) \cdot (\kappa_2, id)\right) = \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_1, id) \cdot (\kappa_2, id)) = \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_1, id\right) \cdot \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_2, id\right)) = \overline{\gamma}(\left(k_1, id\right)$

The group $G=L\times D$ can be considered as a transformation group operating on L in the following way:

$$g=(a,\tau): \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} L \to & L \\ x \to a \oplus \tau(x) \end{array} \right. \text{ for } g \in G.$$

If (L, θ) is also a loop, i.e. besides (K1r), (K2), (K3) and (K4) also $(K1\ell)$ is valid, then:

(7.2) If each $t \in D \setminus \{id\}$ has the only one fixed point $0 \in L$, then G is a Frobenius group, i.e.

- -- G acts transitively on L,
- -- $G_x := \{g \in G : g(x) = x\} \neq \{id\}$ and
- -- $G_X \cap G_y = \{id\} \text{ for } x \neq y \text{ and } x, y \in L$.

Proof. (G, \circ) is a group: Let $(a, \tau), (b, \sigma) \in T$, then $(a, \tau) \circ (b, \sigma) = (a \oplus \tau(b), \delta_{a, \tau(b)} \tau \sigma) \in G$, since $(a, \tau) \circ (b, \sigma) \colon x \to a \oplus \tau(b \oplus \sigma(x)) = (a \oplus \tau(b)) \oplus \delta_{a, \tau(b)} \tau \sigma(x)$. Notice $(a, \tau)^{-1} = (\tau^{-1}(\Theta a), \tau^{-1}) \in G$. G acts transitively on L, since for given $x, y \in L$ and $\tau \in D$ by $(K1\ell)$ there exists an element $a \in L$ with $a \oplus \tau(x) = y$, i.e. $(a, \tau) \in G$ maps x onto y. Hence for $\tau \neq id$ and x = y, there is an element $(a, \tau) \in G_X \setminus \{id\}$. Since G acts transitively, G_X and G_O are isomorphic. Therefore an automorphism $g \in G_X \setminus \{id\}$ possess a fixed point $y \neq x$ if and only if there is an $h = (0, \tau) \in G_O \setminus \{id\}$ with a fixed point $z \neq 0$, i.e. $\tau(z) = z$. Hence if $\tau \in D \setminus \{id\}$ has no fixed point except 0, then $G_X \cap G_y = \{id\}$ for $x \neq y$.

(7.3) Theorem. Let (L, \oplus) be a finite loop with (K3) and (K4) and let $D = \langle (\delta_{a,b} : a,b \in L) \rangle$ be its stucture group. If $D \setminus \{id\}$ operates fixed point free on $L \setminus \{0\}$, then (L, \oplus) is a group. Or with other words: If (L, \oplus) is a proper loop then there exists a $t \in D$ with at least two fixed points.

Proof. Assume that D operates fixed point free on L\{0}, i.e. $\tau(x)=x$ implies $x=0\in L$ for any $\tau\in D\setminus \{id\}$. Then $G=L\times D$ is a Frobenius group operating on L. Since (L,\oplus) is a loop, the subset $\overline{L}:=L\times \{0\}\subset G$ except (0,id) operates transitively and fixed point free on L. Now the Theorem of Frobenius says that in a finite Frobenius-

group G the set T of fixed point free transformations together with {id} form a normal subgroup of G which operates regularly. Let $T \unlhd G$ be this normal subgroup. Then $\overline{L} \subset T$. Since both sets \overline{L} and T operate regularly on L, the finiteness of G implies $\overline{L} = T$. Thus \overline{L} is a group, i.e. $(a,id) \cdot (b,id) = (a \oplus b, \delta_{a,b}) \in \overline{L} = L \times \{id\}$, hence $\delta_{a,b} = id$ for any $a,b \in L$ and (L,\oplus) is a group.

Remark 11. To our knowledge it seems rather difficult to prove even partial results in the infinite case (cf. [13 to 17]).

REFERENCES

- [1] BOL, G. Gewebe und Gruppen. Math Ann. 114 (1937), 414-431
- [2] BRUCK, R. H.: A survey of binary systems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1958
- [3] BRUCK, R. H. and PAIGE, L. J.: Loops whose inner mappings are automorphisms. Annals Math. 63 (1956), 308-323
- [4] BURN, R. P. Finite Bol loops. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 84 (1978), 377-385
- [5] CHEIN, O., PFLUGFELDER, H.O., SMITH, J.D.H.: Quasigroups and Loops, Theory and Applications. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1990
- [6] GLAUBERMAN, G.: On Loops of Odd Order. J. Algebra 1 (1966), 374-396
- [7] GRÄTER, J.: Letter to the authors, 6 April 1993
- [8] IM, B.: K-loops and their generalisations. Beiträge zur Geometrie und Algebra 23 (1993), TUM-Bericht M 9312, 9-17
- [9] KARZEL, H.: Zusammenhänge zwischen Fastbereichen, scharf zweifach transitiven Permutationsgruppen und 2-Strukturen mit Rechtecksaxiom. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 32 (1968), 191-206
- [10] KARZEL, H.: The Lorentz group and the hyperbolic Geometry. Beiträge zur Geometrie und Algebra 24 (1993), TUM-Bericht M9315, 10-22
- [11] KARZEL, H and WEFELSCHEID, H.: Groups with an involutory antiautomorphism and K-loops; Application to Space-Time-World and hyperbolic geometry. Res. Math. 23 (1993), 338-354
- [12] KEPKA, T.: A construction of Bruck loops. Commentationes Math. Univ. Carolinae 25,4 (1984), 591-595.
- [13] KERBY, W.: Infinite sharply multiple transitive groups. Hamburger Mathematische Einzelschriften, Neue Folge, Heft 6. Vandenhoek und Ruprecht, Göttingen 1974
- [14] KERBY, W. und WEFELSCHEID, H.: Bemerkungen über Fastbereiche und scharf 2-fach transitive Gruppen. Abh. Math. Sem. Uni. Hamburg 37 (1971), 20-29
- [15] KERBY, W. und WEFELSCHEID, H.: Über eine scharf 3-fach transitiven Gruppen zugeordnete algebraische Struktur. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 37 (1972), 225-235

- [16] KERBY, W. and WEFELSCHEID, H.: Conditions of finiteness in sharply 2-transitive groups. Aequat. Math. 8 (1974), 169-172
- [17] KERBY, W. and WEFELSCHEID, H.: The maximal subnearfield of a neardomain. J. Algebra 28 (1974), 319-325
- [18] KIKKAWA, M.: Geometry of homogeneous Lie loops. Hiroshima Math J. 5 (1975), 141-179
- [19] KIST, G.: Theorie der verallgemeinerten kinematischen Räume. Beiträge zur Geometrie und Algebra 14, TUM-Bericht M8611, München 1986
- [20] KOLB, E. and KREUZER, A.: Geometry of kinematic K-loops. Preprint.
- [21] KREUZER, A.: Beispiele endlicher und unendlicher K-Loops. Res. Math. 23 (1993), 355-362
- [22] KREUZER, A.: K-loops and Bruck loops on IR×IR. J. of Geometry 47 (1993)
- [23] KREUZER, A.: Algebraische Struktur der relativistischen Geschwindigkeitsaddition. Beiträge zur Geometrie und Algebra 23 (1993), TUM-Bericht M9312, 31-44
- [24] KREUZER, A.: Construction of loops of even order. Beiträge zur Geometrie und Algebra 24 (1993), TUM-Bericht M9315, 10-22
- [25] NIEDERREITER, H. and ROBINSON, K. H.: Bol loops of order pq. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 89 (1981), 241-256
- [26] ROBINSON, D. A.: Bol-loops. Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 341-354
- [27] ROBINSON, K., H.: A note on Bol loops of order 2^nk . Aequationes Math. 22 (1981) 302-306
- [28] SHERMA, B. L. and SOLARIN, A. R. T.: On the Classification of Bol loops of order 3p (p>3). Communicationes in Algebra 16(1), (1988), 37-55
- [29] UNGAR, A., A.: Thomas rotation and the parametrization of the Lorentz transformation group. Found. Phys. Lett. 1 (1988), 57-89
- [30] UNGAR, A., A.: Weakly associative groups. Res. Math. 17 (1990), 149-168
- [31] UNGAR, A., A.: Group-like structure underlying the unit ball in real inner product spaces. Res. Math 18 (1990), 355-364
- [32] UNGAR, A.A.: Several letters to the autors (1990-1993)
- [33] WÄHLING, H.: Theorie der Fastkörper. Thales Verlag, Essen 1987
- [34] WEFELSCHEID, H.: ZT-subgroups of sharply 3-transitive groups. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 23 (1980),9-14

Alexander Kreuzer Mathematisches Institut Technische Universität München Arcisstr. 21 80290 München

Heinrich Wefelscheid Fachbereich 11 / Mathematik Universität Duisburg Lotharstr. 63 47057 Duisburg

Eingegangen am 5. November 1993