Lecture #7

The 1,1-diX Relationship

The label '1,1-diX' may look strange but all it means is that the two functional groups are joined to the same carbon atom. You already know how to make acetals 68: you combine an aldehyde 67 with an alcohol, say methanol, in acidic solution. The disconnection 68a is therefore of both C-O bonds. This reveals a valuable truth: two heteroatoms joined to the same carbon atom are at the carbonyl oxidation level (two C-O bonds to the same C atom in both 67 and 68) and the TM is probably made from a carbonyl compound.

Synthesis
$$R - CHO \xrightarrow{\text{MeOH}} R \xrightarrow{\text{OMe}} R$$

$$67 \qquad 68 \qquad Analysis$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\text{OMe}} R \xrightarrow{\text{OMe}$$

Again you may think that we are not using the two groups in cooperation. But we are. The key step in acetal formation and hydrolysis is the expulsion of one OR group by the other. In the synthesis, protonation of the hemiacetal 69 is followed by expulsion of a molecule of water 70 and the addition of the second molecule of methanol 71 is possible because of the first. In the

hydrolysis, these steps happen in reverse. Acetals are not 'just ethers'—they are more reactive compounds because of the two RO groups joined to the same carbon atom.

R-CHO
$$\xrightarrow{\text{MeOH}}$$
 R $\xrightarrow{\text{OH}}$ R $\xrightarrow{\text{OH}}$ R $\xrightarrow{\text{OH}}$ R $\xrightarrow{\text{OH}}$ R $\xrightarrow{\text{OMe}}$ R $\xrightarrow{\text{OMe}}$ OMe $\xrightarrow{\text{OMe}}$ OMe $\xrightarrow{\text{OH}}$ R $\xrightarrow{\text{OMe}}$ OMe $\xrightarrow{\text{OH}}$ OME $\xrightarrow{\text{OH$

If the two heteroatoms are the same, it is usually best to disconnect both C-X bonds, choosing the ones to the same carbon atom, and write a carbonyl group at that atom. The heterocycle 72 has two C-N bonds to the same carbon atom. If we disconnect both, we get cyclohexanone and a very unstable looking imine 73. We know how to make imines: combine a carbonyl group with an amine so disconnecting both imines we end up with the diketone 74 and two molecules of ammonia.

Supposing you had not noticed the 1,1-diX relationship but had spotted the imines. Disconnection 72a takes us directly to the diketone 74 and a very unstable diamine 75. Now you can't avoid the 1,1-diX disconnections 75 and we get the same starting materials whichever analysis we follow.

But what about the synthesis? When we are making stable 5- or 6-membered rings, syntheses are often very forgiving as you will discover in chapters 29 and 39. All you need to do is to mix together the two ketones with ammonium acetate, to provide both a source of ammonia and an acid catalyst, and TM72 is formed in good yield.⁷

If the heteroatoms are different and one of them is oxygen, it makes more sense to disconnect the other so that the oxygen of the carbonyl group remains. The phosphonate 76 is an example. The nucleophilic synthon is 77 and this can be made by deprotonation of 78.

As this chemistry may be unfamiliar, you may like to know that 78; R=Et, known as diethyl phosphite, is available and forms the anion 77, better drawn as 79, with bases and adds 80 to aldehydes to give the anion 81 of the TM.

78 base
$$\bigcirc P(OR)_2$$
 $P(OR)_2$ $\bigcirc P(OR)_2$ $\bigcirc P(OR)_2$

A real life example is made from 82 and 78; R=Et with the weak base Et₃N. This produces some of the anion 79; R=Et and the ammonium salt protonates the anion of the TM to give 83 in excellent yield.

Two-Group C-X Disconnections as a Preliminary to a Full Analysis

A brief inspection of the polycyclic cage structure of the natural product sarracenin 84 makes it appear a formidable target for synthesis. As we move forward into the book, it will become more and more important to identify any continuous pieces of carbon skeleton and an essential preliminary for that is to disconnect any structural C-X bonds, preferably using two-group disconnections. That strategy works spectacularly well here. Sarracenin 84 has several C-O bonds in its skeleton. One obvious 1,1-diO relationship is marked with a black blob in 84 showing where an acetal indicates a hidden carbonyl group. The black blob on 84 is the aldehyde in 85. Disconnecting the acetal gives 85 with two fewer rings.

Further into the skeleton is another hidden carbonyl group 85 masked as a hemiacetal rather than an acetal. Disconnection there shows up an enol 86 and conversion of the enol into the aldehyde gives the simplest structure we have yet seen 87 without any rings at all. Indeed if we redraw that structure in a more conventional way 88, we can see that it is one continuous piece

of carbon skeleton. One published synthesis⁸ reconnects the two aldehydes on the right to give one alkene and the aldehyde and alcohol on the left to give another 89. This compound looks a great deal simpler than sarracenin but in fact has exactly the same number of carbon atoms. We shall meet the reconnection strategy in chapter 27.