Lecture # 14

The alkyne is actually available as it is easily made from acetylene and formaldehyde. Two decisions remain: how do we distinguish the three alcohols in 36 and what reagent do we use for Me⁻ in the reaction on the epoxide? Protection as the cyclic acetal 40 makes epoxidation straightforward and Me₂CuLi turned out to be the best reagent for opening the epoxide. We now have two of the OHs protected 42 but they are the wrong two!

$$39 \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2, \text{Pd/C}} 38 \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_2\text{C=O}} 18 \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2} 18 \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2}$$

Acetal formation is thermodynamically controlled and five-membered rings are more stable than seven-membered. So the ingenious solution was to submit 42 to acid when it rearranged by acetal exchange to 43. Now the right OH group is unprotected and it can be transformed into the iodide 44 ready for alkylation of the lithium enolate of 34. Treatment with acid again isomerises the acetal 45 into multistriatin 3 with loss of acetone. No attempt was made to control the centre next to the carbonyl group in 45: cyclisation gave 85% of 3 with an equatorial methyl group⁸ and only 15% of the other diastereoisomer resulting from the uncontrolled centre in 45.

But it is important that multistriatin be made in enantiomerically pure form as well as one diastereomer. Looking back over the synthesis, the first chiral intermediate is 42 and, after some failures, reaction with the isocyanate (+)-(R)-46 gave a mixture of the urethanes 47 that could be separated by crystallisation. Removal of the urethane by reduction with LiAlH₄ gave enantiomerically pure alcohol 42 from which enantiomerically pure (>99%) multistriatin 3 could be made by the methods above.

Stereoselective Reactions

We shall use *stereoselective* to describe reactions that have two mechanistically acceptable but stereochemically different pathways so that the molecule may *select* the more favourable—i.e. faster—pathway (kinetic control) or the more stable product (thermodynamic control). These reactions commonly involve setting up one or more new chiral centres in the presence of others.

The ketone 48 could be reduced to either alcohol 49 or 50. The equatorial alcohol 49 is more stable and so equilibrating reducing agents like i-PrOH with (i-PrO)₃Al give⁹ mainly 49. But the equatorial approach 51 is kinetically favoured as the two marked axial Hs hinder approach from the other side. Large reducing agents like LiAlH(Ot-Bu)₃ give¹⁰ mostly the axial alcohol 50.

Sometimes both diastereomers of a compound are needed and then poorly diastereoselective reactions are a boon. Both *syn* and *anti* tosylates 55 were needed to study the stereochemistry of reactions. Reduction of the ketoester (see preparation in chapters 19 and 21) in two stages gave a mixture of *syn* and *anti* diols 54, separable by column chromatography.

Each diol was selectively tosylated on the primary alcohol to give syn and anti tosylates 55 which were each treated with base [the anion of DMSO: MeS(O)CH₂⁻]—syn-55 cyclised to give the bicyclic ether 56 in good yield while anti-55 fragmented to give volatile hexenal 57.

Conformational Control in Six-Membered Rings

If a new chiral centre is formed on a saturated six-membered ring, conformational control is a possibility. We have already seen conformational effects in the reduction of ketone 48 and the same kind of arguments apply to attack on ketones by carbon nucleophiles. The alcohol 59, needed to make an analgesic 58, can obviously be made from the ketone 60 and that is the result of conjugate addition to cyclohexenone. 12

Addition of Me₂NH to cyclohexenone followed by reaction with PhLi, without isolation of 60, gives 59 in 60% overall yield. As you would expect, a large nucleophile such as PhLi prefers to add from the equatorial side. Notice that it adds to 60 on the *same* side as the Me₂N group—obviously nothing to do with steric hindrance. The Me₂N group merely fixes the conformation and the PhLi then adds equatorially. Acylation with the anhydride gives the drug 58.

Axial Attack to Make a Chair

When the starting material is not a chair but a flattened chair, the first priority is to make a proper chair for the product. Strangely this means axial attack by nucleophiles on such electrophiles as

cyclohexenone 62, epoxides 65, and bromonium ions 68. Though the products rapidly equilibrate to all equatorial conformations 64e, 67e and 69e, they are formed initially in axial 64a or trans-di-axial conformations 67a and 69a.

Stereochemical Control in Folded Molecules

If two small rings (3-, 4- or 5-membered) are fused together (that is with two adjacent atoms common to both) they must have *cis* stereochemistry at the ring junction and a folded conformation like a half-opened book. We saw earlier that **70** had to have a *cis* ring junction: the compound *anti*-55 that might have cyclised to the *trans* ring junction fragmented instead. This compound has a folded conformation **70a**. We shall deal with folded conformations in chapter 38 but meanwhile, notice that **70a** and **72a** show an 'outside' (the cover of the book) and an 'inside' (the pages of the book). Epoxidation of **71** goes on the outside of the folded molecule to give **72**.

Control in epoxidation of small rings by another substituent is easy to understand as the rings are virtually flat and we do not have to worry about axial and equatorial substituents. So the simple (achiral) cyclopentene 73, with a large substituent (R = t-BuMe₂Si) on the ring, gives the *anti*-epoxide 74 because of steric hindrance. However, the free alcohol 75 epoxidises on the same face as the OH group to give 76. The only reasonable explanation is that the OH group hydrogen bonds to the reagent and delivers it to the same face.¹³

RO
$$\longrightarrow$$
 RO \longrightarrow RO \longrightarrow HO \longrightarrow HO \longrightarrow PO \longrightarrow 76