

## Effects of elevated carbon dioxide, ozone and water availability on spring wheat growth and yield

Håkan Pleijel<sup>a</sup>, Johanna Gelang<sup>b</sup>, Ebe Sild<sup>c</sup>, Helena Danielsson<sup>a</sup>, Suhaila Younis<sup>b</sup>, Per-Erik Karlsson<sup>a</sup>, Göran Wallin<sup>b</sup>, Lena Skärby<sup>a</sup> and Gun Sellden<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Swedish Environmental Research Institute (IVL), P.O. Box 47086, SE-402 58 Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>b</sup>Botanical Institute, University of Göteborg, P.O. Box 461, SE-405 30 Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Zoology and Botany, Estonian Agricultural University, Riia 181, EE-51014 Tartu, Estonia

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: gun.sellden@fysbot.gu.se

Received 20 May 1999; revised 9 September 1999

Spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Dragon) was exposed to elevated carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), alone (1995) or in combination with two levels of increased ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) (1994) or increased irrigation (1996) during three successive growing seasons as part of the EU ESPACE-wheat programme and conducted in open-top chambers (OTCs) and ambient air (AA) plots at Östad, 50 km north-east of Göteborg, Sweden. Doubling the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration had a positive effect on grain yield in all 3 years (+21, +7 and +11%, respectively), although only statistically significant in 1994. That year was characterised by a warm and dry summer in comparison with 1995 and 1996, in which the summers were more humid and typical for south-west Sweden. In 1994, the CO<sub>2</sub>-induced increase in grain yield was associated with an increase in the duration of the green leaf area, a positive effect on straw yield and on the number of ears per square metre and a negative effect (–13%) on grain protein concentration. Harvest index

was unaffected by the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The only statistically significant effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in 1995 was a decrease in the grain protein concentration (–11% in both CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations), and in 1996 an increase (+21%) in the straw yield. In 1996 the soil water potential was less negative in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, which is likely to reflect a lower water consumption of these plants. Addition of extra O<sub>3</sub> significantly affected the grain yield (–6 and –10%, respectively) and the 1000-grain weight negatively (–3 and –6%). Statistically significant interactions between CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> were obtained for the number of ears per unit area and for the 1000-grain weight. The 1000-grain weight was negatively affected by O<sub>3</sub> in low CO<sub>2</sub>, but remained unaffected in the high CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. There was a significant decrease (–6%) in the grain protein concentration induced by elevated irrigation. The chambers, compared with AA plots, had a positive effect on plant development and on grain yield in all 3 years.

### Introduction

The atmospheric environment of the earth is changing. From an ecophysiological perspective, two important changes are the increasing concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and tropospheric ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). The continuing increase of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is mainly caused by the extensive use of fossil fuels for combustion, and partly by altered land use. Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> is formed in sunlight by reactions involving nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds. The problem of phytotoxic O<sub>3</sub> concentrations is well established in Europe (Jäger et al. 1992) and North America (Heck et al. 1988) and, in recent years, also in other parts of the world, such as in Pakistan (Wahid et al. 1995).

CO<sub>2</sub> is the substrate for photosynthesis and it has been estimated that, due to decreases in photorespiration and in the substrate inhibition of Rubisco, net photosynthesis may increase between 25 and 75% in the short term when the CO<sub>2</sub> level is doubled (Stitt 1991). Additional CO<sub>2</sub> usually increases the growth of C<sub>3</sub> plants, in which a doubling of the CO<sub>2</sub> level has been shown to increase vegetative growth with an average of 41% (data on 156 species, Poorter 1993) and 47% (data on 250 species, Poorter et al. 1996). The growth response varied considerably, both between and within species. For example, in wheat the increase in vegetative growth varied between 7 and 97% (Poorter 1993). Other important

*Abbreviations* – AOT40, accumulated exposure over a threshold concentration of 40 nmol mol<sup>–1</sup>; GPC, grain protein concentration; OTC, open-top chamber; PAR, photosynthetically active radiation; VPD, vapour pressure deficit.

ecophysiological effects of CO<sub>2</sub> are also known. Increased intercellular concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in the leaves can reduce stomatal conductance (Cure and Acock 1986) and consequently improve water use efficiency. In an annual crop like wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), water economy is crucial for the duration of green leaf area and thus for yield, especially under dry conditions.

A lower stomatal conductance due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is important in the present context not only because of the possibility of an improved water use efficiency, but also another significant aspect is that a lower conductance will reduce the uptake of O<sub>3</sub> and other pollutants which are taken up through stomata (Allen 1990). This effect of CO<sub>2</sub> has been observed in some studies (e.g. McKee et al. 1997b).

One important indirect effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the atmosphere, apart from higher temperatures, is that the amount of rainfall may change. In some areas, the rainfall is predicted to decrease, while in other, such as Scandinavia, some models have suggested an increased precipitation (Boer et al. 1990). Increased precipitation is not necessarily beneficial for a field crop, since flooding of the roots may cause oxygen deficiency, yet it may enhance plant growth under other circumstances.

Unlike some other O<sub>3</sub> sensitive species, such as tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) and clover (*Trifolium* spp.) (Heggestad 1991, Pihl Karlsson et al. 1995), wheat does not seem to develop characteristic and specific visible injury in response to moderately elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Instead, the main effect of O<sub>3</sub> on wheat seems to be a shortening of the life span of the leaves and early senescence (Grandjean and Fuhrer 1989, Ojanperä et al. 1992, Pleijel et al. 1997). The period of grain filling is strongly linked to (flag) leaf duration. The leaf area duration has been shown to be of crucial importance for the final grain yield of wheat (Evans 1993). The O<sub>3</sub>-induced yield loss has been found to be correlated with a reduction in flag leaf duration (e.g. Pleijel et al. 1998).

In 1994 the EU-funded ESPACE-wheat (European Stress Physiology and Climate Experiment – Project 1: Wheat) research programme was started and then continued for three growing seasons. The aim of this research programme was to determine the sensitivity of wheat growth, development and productivity to the combined effects of changes in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, climatic variables and physiological stresses. The Swedish part of the ESPACE-wheat programme consisted of four different experiments, three of which are reported in the present paper. All three experiments contained an approximately doubled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, the first year in combination with two elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, the second year included an intermediate CO<sub>2</sub> concentration between ambient and doubled, and the third year in combination with increased irrigation and thereby an elevated water availability.

## Materials and methods

### Experimental site

The experiment was conducted in a spring wheat field at Östad, 50 km north-east of Göteborg, Sweden (N57°54',

E12°24'). The field was situated 60 m above the sea level and the soil was a loamy sand. No major air pollution sources are located in the vicinity of the investigation area.

### Open-top chambers

The open-top chambers (OTCs) were 1.24 m in diameter and 1.6 m high including the frustum. In 1995 and 1996, a roof was attached 0.25 m above the upper part of the frustum in order to control the water availability of the plants completely by irrigation. Air was blown day and night through a circular perforated annulus situated 0.1 m above the canopy at a rate corresponding to approximately 10 m<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>.

### Cultural practices

The spring wheat cultivar *Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Dragon was sown (240 kg seeds ha<sup>-1</sup>) with 0.125 m row spacing. The fertiliser application rate was 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 24 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 48 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. Further details of the cultural practices of the three experiments are given in Table 1. Irrigation was made with 10 mm water every second day in all treatments except the treatments with elevated water availability in 1996, which received 20 mm every second day.

### Experimental design

The experimental design, the treatments and the number of replicates used in the three experiments are presented in Table 2. The treatments were distributed in a completely randomised design in all experiments. In all experiments non-filtered air chambers with ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were used as controls. In the high CO<sub>2</sub> treatments CO<sub>2</sub> was added day and night. To retain the normal diurnal variation with low O<sub>3</sub> concentrations during the night-time, the additional O<sub>3</sub> was added each day between 08.00 and 20.00, local time. O<sub>3</sub> was generated using pure oxygen and electrical discharge and distributed to the chambers via Teflon tubes. Ambient air (AA) plots were used in all years to check the chamber effect on plant growth and development. One replicate chamber was excluded from analysis in 1994 due to abnormal growth pattern. In 1995 and 1996, 3 and 4

Table 1. Timetable of events (day of year) during the Swedish ESPACE-wheat experiments. –: Not used.

Event	1994	1995	1996
Sowing	118	123	134
N fertilisation	140	123	134
PK fertilisation	146	123	134
Installation of chambers	153–154	144	165–166
Start of irrigation	169	144	165
Start of CO <sub>2</sub> fumigation	164	149	169
Start of O <sub>3</sub> exposure	165	–	–
Installation of tensiometers	–	–	172, 176
Herbicide, MCPA	140	167	172
Insecticide, Pirimor	–	209	201, 229
Fungicide, Tilt Top	–	–	194, 206, 220
Removal of chambers and harvest	235	242	267

Table 2. The experimental design used in the three experiments. AA: ambient air plots without chamber; 350, 520 and 680: chamber plots with ambient,  $1.5 \times$  ambient and  $2.0 \times$  ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations, respectively; NF: non-filtered chamber air; 350+ and 680+:  $1.5 \times$  NF  $\text{O}_3$  concentration; 350++ and 680++:  $2.0 \times$  NF  $\text{O}_3$  concentration; 350  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 680  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ : chamber plots with increased irrigation; n: number of replicates per treatment.

Year	Treatment	$\text{CO}_2$	$\text{O}_3$	$\text{H}_2\text{O}$	n
1994	AA	Ambient	Ambient	Normal	3
	350	Ambient	NF	Normal	2
	350+	Ambient	$1.5 \times$ NF	Normal	3
	350++	Ambient	$2.0 \times$ NF	Normal	3
	680	$2 \times$ ambient	NF	Normal	3
	680+	$2 \times$ ambient	$1.5 \times$ NF	Normal	3
	680++	$2 \times$ ambient	$2.0 \times$ NF	Normal	3
1995	AA	Ambient	Ambient	Normal	4
	350	Ambient	NF	Normal	5
	520	$1.5 \times$ ambient	NF	Normal	3
	680	$2 \times$ ambient	NF	Normal	5
1996	AA	Ambient	Ambient	Normal	5
	350	Ambient	NF	Normal	6
	350 $\text{H}_2\text{O}$	Ambient	NF	High	6
	680	$2 \times$ ambient	NF	Normal	4
	680 $\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$2 \times$ ambient	NF	High	5

replicate plots, respectively, were excluded due to weeds or aphids.

### Pollutant monitoring

Air samples were drawn through 50 m long Teflon (PTFE) tubes (6 mm diameter), which were continuously ventilated and connected to a time-share sampling system with PTFE solenoid valves. Gas concentrations were measured twice per hour in every measurement point.  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations were monitored using Thermo Environmental 49 UV absorption analysers and the  $\text{O}_3$  analysers were calibrated monthly, using a portable  $\text{O}_3$  generator (Monitor Labs 8500). The  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations were monitored using a CIRAS-1 (1994) and FRGA (WMA-1) (1995–1996), both delivered by PP-system, Hitchin, UK. Data were collected with a Campbell Scientific CR10 data logger. In 1994,  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations were monitored in all chamber treatments except in the 350 treatment and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations were measured in all treatments with elevated  $\text{CO}_2$ . In 1995,  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations were monitored in all chamber treatments, and in 1996  $\text{CO}_2$  was monitored in all chambers. In addition to chamber measurements,  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations were also measured in the AA at 1 m above the ground (AA 1 m) and in a meteorological mast at 9 m above the ground (AA 9 m).

### Climate monitoring

Air temperature and relative humidity were monitored using Rotronic YA-100 hygrometer/thermometers at 0.1 m above the crop in one chamber and at one ambient plot. The photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured using a Li-Cor LI 190SA Quantum sensor in the AA. Water vapour pressure deficit (VPD) was calculated according to Jones (1983). All three climatic parameters were measured continuously (time resolution 1 min) and stored as 30-min averages using a Campbell Scientific CR10 data logger. These values were used to calculate the seasonal mean

daytime (08.00–20.00) and night-time (20.00–08.00) values for the whole exposure period. In 1996, the soil water potential was measured at two depths (0.15 and 0.50 m) in 10 of the chambers and in two AA plots, using tensiometers (Soilmoisture Equipment Corp. Santa Barbara, CA, USA) equipped with electronic pressure transducer probes. Water potential data were stored as 30-min averages using a Campbell Scientific CR10 data logger.

### Harvests

To facilitate the harvest, each circular plot was marked by a plastic strip with a diameter of 1.10 m and a height of 0.06 m. The circular plot was divided into 2 equally sized parts. The southern part was kept untouched throughout the experiment and was used for the final harvest while the northern half was used for sampling for determination of leaf area and other parameters not discussed in the present paper. All the above-ground plant material in the southern part was harvested at the final harvest. The ears were separated from the straw and the number of ears was counted. The grains from each plot were carefully threshed by hand and weighed. The water content of the grains, as well as of the straw, was determined by drying three sub-samples at  $70^\circ\text{C}$  to constant weight and used to calculate the total dry weights of grains and straw respectively. The rest of the grains were sent to an authorised agricultural laboratory (AnalyCen, Lidköping, Sweden) for determination of the crude protein concentration (Kjeldahl nitrogen multiplied by 6.25) and the 1000-grain weight. The harvest index was calculated as the proportion of the above-ground biomass which is found in the grains at harvest.

### Plant development

The date of emergence (growth stage 10) and mid-anthesis (growth stage 65) was determined according to the decimal code (Tottman and Broad 1987). The end of grain filling (the date when there was no further dry weight increase of




Fig. 1. Temperature (°C) in the ambient air (AA) plots and in the open-top chambers (OTCs) in 1994, 1995 and 1996. 24-h mean temperature (broken line); maximum and minimum 1-h mean temperatures (upper and lower curve, respectively).

the grains) was determined in 1995 and 1996 only. In 1995, the end of grain filling was determined by measuring the dry weight and water content of sampled ears; while in 1996, the sampled ears were threshed and the dry weight per grain was measured.

### Leaf area

In 1994, the green leaf area was measured using an area meter (Delta-T instruments). The delimitation of what should be considered as yellow areas, and thus excluded from the green area, on each leaf was estimated visually. On each sampling occasion three plants were harvested at random from the northern part of the chamber. Green leaf area

was studied also in 1995 and 1996. No treatment effects were observed in 1995 and 1996 and therefore these data will not be considered further.

### Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used. For the data set of 1995, one-way ANOVA was performed; for 1994 and 1996, two-way ANOVA was used.

## Results

### Climate

The weather in 1994 was characterised by a cold spring, but later by an unusual warm and dry period starting in July and lasting approximately 6 weeks (Fig. 1). In both 1995 and 1996, the summer weather was cooler and wetter, rather typical for southwest Swedish conditions (Fig. 1). Due to the unusually cold and prolonged winter of 1996, spring was late in that year and consequently sowing was delayed 16 days and harvest 32 days compared with 1994 (Table 1).

In Table 3, the daytime and night-time temperatures, water vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) inside and outside the chambers during the experiments are presented as mean daytime (08.00–20.00) and night-time (20.00–08.00) values. The maximum daytime chamber effect on temperature, +2.6°C, was obtained in 1994. This was related to the sunny weather, which was also reflected in the higher daytime PAR values. Also on absolute terms, 1994 was the warmest year of the three with average daytime temperatures above 20°C, and the driest year with rather high VPD values for south Swedish conditions, especially inside the OTCs. The lowest temperature value (16.5°C, daytime average) was reported in 1996, while the VPD differed slightly between 1995 and 1996. During the night, the chamber effect on temperature was around 1°C or lower in all years. VPD values for the nights were generally low, but the positive chamber effect on VPD in 1994 was relatively large also during the night.

### CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations

The measured CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are presented as daytime (08.00–20.00) mean values in Table 4. The values in the treatments with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> were relatively close to the

Table 3. Seasonal mean temperature (°C), vapour pressure deficits, VPD (kPa) and photosynthetically active radiation, PAR ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) during daytime (08.00–20.00) and night-time (20.00–08.00) in the ambient air (AA) and inside the open-top chambers (OTCs) during the 3 years of experimentation. NMV: no measured value. Seasons (day of year): 1994, 174–235; 1995, 144–242; 1996, 169–267.

Year	Treatment	Temperature		VPD		PAR	
		08–20	20–08	08–20	20–08	08–20	20–08
1994	AA	21.6	12.8	11.4	1.4	816	96
	OTC	24.2	13.8	16.0	3.1	NMV	NMV
1995	AA	18.7	11.8	6.9	1.3	742	48
	OTC	19.6	12.4	6.2	1.7	NMV	NMV
1996	AA	16.5	10.0	6.7	1.1	655	36
	OTC	18.1	10.8	5.9	1.4	NMV	NMV

Table 4. Carbon dioxide concentrations ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ) expressed as 12-h means (08.00–20.00, local time) during the 3 years of experimentation; NMV: no measured value. Seasons (day of year): 1994, 162–235; 1995, 144–242; 1996, 166–267. For abbreviations, see Table 2. AA 1 m, ambient air in the field; AA 9 m, ambient air 9 m above ground; –, not used.

Treatment	Year		
	1994	1995	1996
AA 1 m	NMV	349	362
AA 9 m	355	353	360
350	NMV	347	355
350+	NMV	—	—
350++	NMV	—	—
350 H <sub>2</sub> O	—	—	358
520	—	515	—
680	660	667	675
680+	646	—	—
680++	663	—	—
680 H <sub>2</sub> O	—	—	696

target values, 520 and 680  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. O<sub>3</sub> exposures are reported in Table 5. O<sub>3</sub> treatments formed part of the experimental design only in 1994, but are nevertheless reported whenever measured in 1995 and 1996. Average concentrations of the elevated O<sub>3</sub> treatments in 1994 were very similar at high and low CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations while the AOT40 (accumulated exposure over a threshold concentration of 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) values varied somewhat more. The exposure index AOT40 is sensitive to small changes in the average O<sub>3</sub> concentration in the concentration range typical for the experimental site, where the exceedance of 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> was rather small. The AOT40 values ranged from slightly above 2000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h in the treatments with ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentrations to around 12000 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h in the treatments with the highest O<sub>3</sub> level.

### Plant development

In Table 6, the dates for emergence (growth stage 10), mid-anthesis (growth stage 65) and the end of grain filling are shown. The late spring in 1996 was reflected in later emergence date compared with 1994 and 1995. Grain filling ended 5 days earlier in 680  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  CO<sub>2</sub> in 1995, but 3 days later in

Table 5. Ozone concentrations (nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) expressed as 12-h means (08.00–20.00) and as accumulated exposure over a threshold concentration of 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> h AOT40). NMV: no measured value. Seasons (day of year): 1994, 162–235; 1995, 144–242; 1996, 166–267. For abbreviations, see Table 2. AA 1 m, ambient air in the field; AA 9 m, ambient air 9 m above ground; –, not used.

Treatment	12-h mean			AOT40		
	1994	1995	1996	1994	1995	1996
AA 1 m	NMV	34	29	NMV	2 455	1 148
AA 9 m	42	37	34	6 403	4 622	3 039
350	NMV	26	NMV	NMV	789	NMV
350+	39	—	—	7 162	—	—
350++	46	—	—	12 052	—	—
520	—	27	—	—	900	—
680	33	28	NMV	2 271	959	NMV
680+	39	—	—	5 648	—	—
680++	47	—	—	11 870	—	—

Table 6. Julian days for emergence (GS 10), mid-anthesis (GS 65) and end of grain filling (EOG) in the different experiments. Growth stages (GS) are according to Tottman and Broad (1987). NMV: no measured value. For abbreviations, see Table 2.

Year	Treatment	Julian day		
		GS 10	GS 65	EOG
1994	AA	129	190	NMV
	350	129	190	NMV
1995	AA	132	198	228
	350	132	195	228
	520	132	195	228
	680	132	195	223
1996	AA	145	211	243
	350	145	208	243
	350 H <sub>2</sub> O	145	207	243
	680	145	206	243
	680 H <sub>2</sub> O	145	207	246

the 680 H<sub>2</sub>O treatment in 1996 compared with other treatments. In 1994, the end of grain filling was not determined.

### Soil water potential

Table 7 summarises the measurements of the soil water potential during 1996. The integrated soil water potentials over the treatment period (MPa h) were relatively similar at 0.15 and 0.50 m depth. Increased irrigation resulted in statistically significantly higher soil water potentials at 0.50 m depth, yet the soil water potentials were higher also at 0.15 m depth. The CO<sub>2</sub> treatments also influenced the water potentials to a considerable extent, although not statistically significant. The soil in the low CO<sub>2</sub> treatments had lower water potentials than in the high CO<sub>2</sub> treatments.

### Yield, yield components and grain protein

The yield data from 1994 are presented in Fig. 2. Elevation of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration had a statistically significant effect on the grain and straw yields, the grain protein concentration and the number of ears per unit area. **The effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on grain protein concentration was negative by approximately 12%, while the effects on grain and straw yield were positive by 21 and 29%, respectively. The harvest index and the 1000-grain weight were not significantly affected by CO<sub>2</sub>.** The number of grains per ear was calculated using data on grain yield, 1000-grain weight and the number of ears per

Table 7. Soil water potential in the different treatments expressed as the integrated value over the exposure period in 1996 at 0.15 and 0.50 m soil depth (MPa × h). SE denotes standard error, n: number of replicates. Season (day of year): 178–267. For abbreviations, see Table 2.

Treatment	n	0.15 m depth		0.50 m depth	
		Mean	SE	Mean	SE
AA	2	-15.4	8.1	-19.3	0.2
350	4	-61.4	17.8	-54.7	8.5
350 H <sub>2</sub> O	2	-24.4	1.2	-25.7	2.7
680	2	-30.4	6.3	-32.1	4.6
680 H <sub>2</sub> O	2	-20.2	1.8	-18.3	1.1




Fig. 2. Grain yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), grain protein concentration (GPC, %), straw yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), 1000-grain weight (g dry weight), harvest index and number of ears per square metre in the 1994 experiment. 350:  $350 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; 680:  $680 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; white bars: NF; light grey bars: NF+; dark bars: NF++; treatments as in Table 2. Error bars show SE and the levels of significance are the results of a two-way ANOVA; NS: no significant treatment effect; significance levels: \*  $P = 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P = 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $P = 0.001$ .

square metre and was statistically significantly higher (+5%) in elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  compared with ambient  $\text{CO}_2$ . Increasing the  $\text{O}_3$  level affected grain yield and 1000-grain weight negatively. A significant interaction between elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_3$  was observed in the number of ears per unit area and in the 1000-grain weight. In low  $\text{CO}_2$ , the effect of  $\text{O}_3$  on the number of ears per square metre was positive (+9 and +14% in 350+ and 350++, respectively, compared with 350), while the 1000-grain weight was negatively affected (-6 and -17%, respectively). In high  $\text{CO}_2$ , on the other hand, the effect of  $\text{O}_3$  on the number of ears per unit area was negative and the 1000-grain weight was unaffected.

The effects of elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration on yield parameters were much smaller in 1995 compared with 1994, as evident from Fig. 3. The grain yield was only 7% larger in  $680 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  compared with ambient  $\text{CO}_2$ , and this effect was not statistically significant. The only parameter that was significantly influenced by  $\text{CO}_2$  in 1995 was the grain protein concentration, which decreased by 11% in both 520 and 680 compared with  $350 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{ CO}_2$ .

Also in 1996, treatment effects were generally small and significant only in a few cases (Fig. 4). Overall there was a tendency towards stimulation of grain as well as straw yield by both elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration and increased water availability. However, the only significant effect among these was the higher straw yield by elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration. The protein concentration of the grain was negatively influenced

by both elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and water availability, but only the latter effect was statistically significant. The number of grains per ear was 12% higher in elevated compared with ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  (data not shown). No significant interaction effects by  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration and water availability were obtained.

### Green leaf area

In 1994, substantial treatment effects on the green leaf area duration were obtained. The changes in the green leaf area with time for the four youngest leaves are presented in Fig. 5. Elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  resulted in a statistically significant larger flag leaf area at day 213 and thus a longer green leaf area duration.  $\text{O}_3$  had a statistically significant negative effect on green leaf area of the fourth leaf at anthesis.

### Chamber effects

In Table 8, the chamber effect on the different yield parameters for the 3 years of experimentation is expressed as the ratio (%) between the 350 treatment and the AA treatment. In 1994, there were no statistically significant effects of chamber enclosure, except a positive effect on the calculated number of grains per ear, although a non-significant increase in grain yield. There was however a statistically significant chamber effect on grain yield and the number of ears per square metre in 1995, on grain protein concentration in 1996 and on 1000-grain weight and harvest index in both 1995 and 1996.




Fig. 3. Grain yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), grain protein concentration (GPC, %), straw yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), 1000-grain weight (g dry weight), harvest index and number of ears per square metre in the 1995 experiment. 350:  $350 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; 520:  $520 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; 680:  $680 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . Error bars show SE and the levels of significance are the results of a two-way ANOVA; NS: no significant treatment effect; significance level: \*\*  $P = 0.01$ .




Fig. 4. Grain yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), grain protein concentration (GPC, %), straw yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), 1000-grain weight (g dry weight), harvest index and number of ears per square metre in the 1996 experiment. 350:  $350 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; 680:  $680 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; white bars: normal irrigation; hatched bars: increased irrigation. Error bars show SE and the levels of significance are the results of a two-way ANOVA; NS: no significant treatment effect; significance levels: \*  $P = 0.05$ .

In 1996, there was a negative chamber effect on straw yield. In 1995 and 1996, there was also a positive chamber effect on the date for anthesis (Table 6).




Fig. 5. Development of green leaf area per plant ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) for the youngest four leaves around and after anthesis in the different treatments in 1994. Mid-anthesis was at Julian day 190.

Table 8. The chamber effects expressed as the value for the 350 treatment in percent of the AA treatment. GY: grain yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ); GPC: grain protein concentration (% of dry weight); SY: straw yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ); 1000-g w: 1000-grain weight; HI: harvest index; Ears: number of ears per unit area.

Year	GY	GPC	SY	1 000-g w	HI	Ears
1994	124	91	119	93	102	102
1995	126	105	100	116	112	109
1996	108	113	85	105	114	101

## Discussion

### Yield responses to elevated $\text{CO}_2$

The core parameter of the ESPACE-wheat programme has been the elevation of the  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration of the air. An approximately doubled  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration was used during all 3 years of experimentation in the Swedish part of the programme. This treatment resulted in a growth stimulation, which however varied to a considerable extent between the years. There was a large and statistically significant positive effect of doubled  $\text{CO}_2$  on both grain and straw yield only in 1994, but the stimulation by doubled  $\text{CO}_2$  on straw yield was statistically significant also in 1996.

The grain yield increase due to doubled  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the present study (21% in 1994, 7% in 1995 and 11% in 1996) is small compared with results of many earlier studies. Kimball (1983) compiled a large number of studies and found the average stimulation of grain yield in wheat by doubled  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration to be 37%. In a similar study, Cure and Acock (1986) found a corresponding figure of 35%. More recent experiments have found that doubled  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration increased wheat grain yield by 20–50% (Manderscheid and Weigel 1997), 29% (McKee et al. 1997a), 33% (Mulholland et al. 1997) and 12–65% (Batts et al. 1998). Only the 21% higher grain yield found in 1994 was of the same magnitude as yield responses reported in the literature.

### Leaf area duration

Not only straw and grain yield were positively affected by elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  in 1994. Another important trait that was influenced to a considerable degree was the duration of the green leaf area, especially of the flag leaf. Since green leaf area duration is of great importance for final grain yield in wheat (e.g. Lawlor 1995), it seems reasonable to link the  $\text{CO}_2$  stimulation effect on the green leaf area duration to the stimulation on grain yield. Since a reduction of stomatal conductance in elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  will improve the water use efficiency of the plant, drought effects may be less severe at high  $\text{CO}_2$ . Drought is an important trigger of leaf and plant senescence (e.g. Larcher 1995), and the improved water use efficiency may thus retard plant development and improve the green leaf area duration. On the other hand, the reduced transpiration associated with lower stomatal conductance will tend to increase leaf temperature and this may increase the rate of plant development and thus shorten the growth duration (Baker and Allen 1994). Thus, the net result of elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  on development is a delicate balance between these factors depending on the conditions prevailing in the

particular situation. Therefore, CO<sub>2</sub> can have a variable effect on plant development, which is also consistent with what has been presented earlier in the literature (Conroy et al. 1994).

In the present study, the beneficial effect of reduced drought stress dominated in 1994, resulting in a delayed senescence of plants grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. In 1995, however, the plants grown in doubled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration senesced earlier, as seen from the date of end of grain filling and also from leaf chlorophyll and carbohydrate measurements (Sild et al. 1999). In 1996, the effect was smaller, with a delay in the end of grain filling in the 680 H<sub>2</sub>O treatment, but no effect on that in the other treatments. An explanation for this difference is likely to be found in the much drier and warmer conditions (Table 3) prevailing in 1994, which made an improved water use efficiency by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> more important in 1994 compared with 1995 and 1996. That the stimulation of grain yield due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is higher in warmer conditions has also been found in other experiments (Rawson 1995, Blumenthal et al. 1996). Idso and Idso (1994) concluded that the relative growth stimulation due to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment rises with increasing air temperature, since photorespiration increases with increasing temperature and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration decreases photorespiration. Differences in temperature and humidity between locations and years may also explain the differences in the grain yield responses reported in the literature.

The less negative soil water potential in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in 1996 suggests that an elevation of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration reduced the water consumption of the plants. This is in line with the rather general observation that CO<sub>2</sub> decreases stomatal conductance (Morison 1987). Van Vuren et al. (1997) studied directly the water consumption of wheat plants grown at 350 and 700 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. They found that the water use per plant was 25% higher at 350 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> compared with 700 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is consistent with our observation. The soil water potential was remarkably lower in ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, and more positively affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in low irrigation. It has often been observed that the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> is higher in low water availability (e.g. Gifford 1979). Nevertheless, the water use per plant in water limiting conditions does not necessarily decrease in high CO<sub>2</sub>, despite an improved WUE, since the leaf area or tiller number may be increased (Morison and Gifford 1984, Samarakoon et al. 1995).

#### Yield parameters and grain protein

CO<sub>2</sub> had a positive effect on grain yield in 1994 but no influence on the 1000-grain weight. The higher grain yield in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was instead associated with a higher number of ears per square metre, which is in agreement with other studies (Mulholland et al. 1998). The higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentration seems to have stimulated the development and/or survival of productive tillers. An abundance of assimilates produced in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> could enhance the survival of tillers and grain primordia (Lawlor and Mitchell 1991), which might explain the higher number of grains per ear in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in 1994 and 1996. Water deficit leads to a

reduction of tillering in grasses (Jones 1983). An increase in water use efficiency, and hence a decrease in water deficit, could thus provide another explanation to the increased number of ears per square metre. However, there was no significant effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> or increased irrigation on the number of ears per square metre in 1996.

**The protein concentration and hence the quality of the grain were negatively affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.** This effect was significant in 1994 and 1995, yet more pronounced in 1994 compared with 1995. This pattern, i.e. that an increase in grain yield is associated with a decrease in the grain protein concentration, has been observed in a number of studies (e.g. Manderscheid et al. 1995, Fangmeier et al. 1997) and seems to be rather general (Pleijel et al. 1999). If carbon accumulation is stimulated at a certain level of nitrogen availability, the nitrogen will become diluted within the plant (Mooney and Koch 1994).

#### Yield responses to increased water availability

The only parameter that responded to the water treatment was the grain protein concentration, which was significantly lower in the high water regime. This probably reflects the same kind of growth dilution effect as discussed above in relation to CO<sub>2</sub>, although the effect on grain yield of increased irrigation was not significant. Although both grain and straw yield responded slightly positively to elevated irrigation, none of these effects were significant, despite the rather large difference in water added between the two levels of irrigation. Therefore, we conclude that an increased water availability, as predicted by some circulation models for the Nordic countries, will not necessarily result in improved yield of spring wheat in the climate prevailing in that region, except for years with drought.

#### Yield responses to O<sub>3</sub>

**O<sub>3</sub> exposure had a negative effect on grain yield in both ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.** In the low CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> induced grain yield reduction as a result of a lower 1000-grain weight. O<sub>3</sub>-induced reduction in grain weight has been reported in many experiments (e.g. Pleijel et al. 1998). In the high CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> induced grain yield reduction as a result of a lower number of ears per square metre. The leaf area duration data of 1994 and the soil water potential measurements of 1996 indicate **a lower stomatal conductance, and hence probably a lower O<sub>3</sub> uptake in the higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.** The actual O<sub>3</sub> dose (the O<sub>3</sub> taken up by the plant) might thus be lowered in high CO<sub>2</sub>, which may explain the different effects of O<sub>3</sub> on 1000-grain weight in low and high CO<sub>2</sub>. Under high CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, O<sub>3</sub> exposure had no impact on the 1000-grain weight. The different effect of O<sub>3</sub> on 1000-grain weight may also be associated with a CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation effect on green leaf area duration. It may also be associated with an O<sub>3</sub>-induced decrease in ear number per square metre in high CO<sub>2</sub>, which may have a positive effect on the 1000-grain weight of the remaining ears. CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment had a positive effect on the number of ears per unit area in low and medium O<sub>3</sub> concentration (680



and 680+ as compared with 350 and 350+), but a negative effect in the high O<sub>3</sub> concentration (680++ compared with 350++). It is possible that the positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on tillering was accompanied by higher tiller mortality and/or a lower proportion of ear bearing tillers in the 680++ treatment. The grain yield of all elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (680, 680+ and 680++) was higher than the grain yield of the 350 treatment, indicating that the positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> could compensate for the yield losses due to O<sub>3</sub>. The grain yield was, however, negatively affected by O<sub>3</sub> in both CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

## Conclusions

Based on the experience from the present 3-year study, the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the yield of spring wheat can be expected to vary from year to year. In years when the beneficial effect of the CO<sub>2</sub>-induced improved water use efficiency on leaf area duration is expressed, i.e. warm and dry years, the yield is likely to be significantly increased. Under wetter and cooler conditions, typical for southwest Sweden in many summers, the yield increase will be smaller.

O<sub>3</sub> decreased the grain yield in both ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. However, the grain yield in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, regardless of O<sub>3</sub> concentration, was higher than the grain yield in any of the O<sub>3</sub> concentrations with ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, in climatic conditions that allow the CO<sub>2</sub> effect to be expressed to a significant extent, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> will probably compensate for the yield losses due to O<sub>3</sub>.

Increasing the water availability did not affect the grain yield, in neither ambient nor in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Occasionally, spring wheat yield in the Nordic countries is suppressed by drought stress. An overall increased water availability, as predicted by some models as a consequence of global greenhouse warming, will decrease the occurrence of drought. In normal years, increased water availability is likely to have small effects on spring wheat yield.

*Acknowledgements* – The present study formed part of the EU Environment ESPACE-wheat programme (Contract No. EV5V-CT93-0301). It was funded by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, the Swedish Council for Forestry and Agricultural Research and Göteborg University. Thanks are expressed to Patrik Alströmer, Östad Säteri for making available the investigation area and to Christina Westberg for technical assistance.

## References

Allen LH (1990) Plant responses to rising carbon dioxide and potential interactions with air pollutants. *J Environ Qual* 19: 15–34

Baker JT, Allen LH (1994) Assessment of the impact of rising carbon dioxide and other potential climate changes on vegetation. *Environ Pollut* 83: 223–235

Batts GR, Ellis RH, Morison JIL, Nkemka PN, Gregory PJ, Hadley P (1998) Yield and partitioning in crops of contrasting cultivars of winter wheat in response to CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in field studies using temperature gradient tunnels. *J Agric Sci* 130: 17–27

Blumenthal C, Rawson HM, McKenzie E, Gras PW, Barlow EWR, Wrigley CW (1996) Changes in wheat grain quality due to doubling the level of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Cereal Chem* 73: 762–766

Boer MM, Koster EA, Lundberg H (1990) Greenhouse impact in Fennoscandia – preliminary findings of a European workshop on the effects of climatic change. *Ambio* 19: 2–10

Conroy JP, Seneweera S, Basra AS, Rogers G, Nissen-Wooller B (1994) Influence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and temperature on growth, yield and grain quality of cereal crops. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 21: 741–758

Cure JD, Acocck B (1986) Crop responses to carbon dioxide doubling: A literature survey. *Agric For Meteorol* 38: 127–145

Evans LT (1993) *Crop Evolution, Adaptation and Yield*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. ISBN 0-521-22571-X

Fangmeier A, Grütters U, Högy P, Vermehren B, Jäger HJ (1997) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen supply and tropospheric ozone on spring wheat. II. nutrients (N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn). *Environ Pollut* 96: 43–59

Gifford RM (1979) Growth and yield of CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched wheat under water-limited conditions. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 6: 367–378

Grandjean A, Fuhrer J (1989) Growth and leaf senescence in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown at different ozone concentrations in open-top field chambers. *Physiol Plant* 77: 389–394

Heck WW, Taylor OC, Tingey DT (1988) *Assessment of Crop Loss from Air Pollutants*. Elsevier Applied Science, London and New York. ISBN 1-85166-244-8

Heggestad HE (1991) Origin of Bel-W3, Bel-C and Bel-B tobacco varieties and their use as indicators of ozone. *Environ Pollut* 74: 264–291

Idso KE, Idso SB (1994) Plant responses to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in the face of environmental constraints: A review of the past 10 years research. *Agric For Meteorol* 69: 153–203

Jäger HJ, Unsworth L, De Temmermann L, Mathy P (1992) *Air Pollution Report 46. Effects of Air Pollution on Agricultural Crops in Europe. Results of the European Open-Top Chamber Project*. In: Proceedings of the Final Symposium of the European Open-Top Chambers Project, 23–25 November 1992, Tervuren, Belgium. Commission of the European Communities, Publication no. EUR 14975 EN. ISBN 2-87263-103-8

Jones HG (1983) *Plants and Microclimate: A Quantitative Approach to Environmental Plant Physiology*. Oxford University Press, Oxford. ISBN 0-521-27016-2

Kimball BA (1983) Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield: An assemblage and analysis of 430 prior observations. *Agron J* 75: 779–788

Larcher W (1995) *Physiological Plant Ecology*, 3rd Ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. ISBN 3-540-58116-2

Lawlor DW (1995) Photosynthesis, productivity and environment. *J Exp Bot* 46: 1449–1461

Lawlor DW, Mitchell RAC (1991) The effects of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> on crop photosynthesis and productivity: A review of field studies. *Plant Cell Environ* 14: 807–818

Manderscheid R, Weigel HJ (1997) Photosynthetic and growth responses of old and modern spring wheat cultivars to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 64: 65–73

Manderscheid R, Bender J, Jäger HJ, Weigel HJ (1995) Effects of season long CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on cereals. II. Nutrient concentrations and grain quality. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 54: 175–185

McKee IF, Bullimore JF, Long SP (1997a) Will elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations protect the yield of wheat from O<sub>3</sub> damage? *Plant Cell Environ* 20: 77–84

McKee IF, Eiblmeier M, Polle A (1997b) Enhanced ozone-tolerance in wheat grown at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: Ozone exclusion and detoxification. *New Phytol* 137: 275–284

Mooney HA, Koch GW (1994) The impact of rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the terrestrial biosphere. *Ambio* 23: 74–76

Morison JIL (1987) Intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and stomatal response to CO<sub>2</sub>. In: Zeiger E, Farquhar GD, Cowan IR (eds) *Stomatal Function*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA, pp 229–251. ISBN 0-8047-1347-2

Morison JIL, Gifford RM (1984) Plant growth and water use with limited water supply in high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. I. Leaf area, water use and transpiration. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 11: 361–374

Mulholland BJ, Craigon J, Black CR, Colls JJ, Atherton J, Landon G (1997) Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and ozone on the growth and yield of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *J Exp Bot* 48: 113–122

Mulholland BJ, Craigon J, Black CR, Colls JJ, Atherton J, Landon G (1998) Growth, light interception and yield responses of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in open-top chambers. *Global Change Biol* 4: 121–130

- Ojanperä K, Sutinen S, Pleijel H, Selldén G (1992) Exposure of spring wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Drabant, to different concentrations of ozone in open-top chambers: Effects on the ultrastructure of flag leaf cells. *New Phytol* 120: 39–48
- Pihl Karlsson G, Selldén G, Skärby L, Pleijel H (1995) Clover as an indicator plant for phytotoxic ozone concentrations: Visible injury in relation to species, leaf age and exposure dynamics. *New Phytol* 129: 355–365
- Pleijel H, Ojanperä K, Danielsson H, Sild E, Gelang J, Wallin G, Skärby L, Selldén G (1997) Effects of ozone on leaf senescence in spring wheat – possible consequences for grain yield. *Phyton* 37: 227–232
- Pleijel H, Danielsson H, Gelang J, Sild E, Selldén G (1998) Growth stage dependence of the grain yield response to ozone in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 70: 61–68
- Pleijel H, Mortensen L, Fuhrer J, Ojanperä K, Danielsson H (1999) Grain protein accumulation in relation to grain yield of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown in open-top chambers with different concentrations of ozone, carbon dioxide and water availability. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 72: 265–270
- Poorter H (1993) Interspecific variation in the growth response of plants to elevated ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Vegetatio* 104/105: 77–97
- Poorter H, Roumet C, Campbell BD (1996) Interspecific variation in the growth response of plants to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A search for functional types. In: Körner C, Bazzaz FA (eds) *Carbon Dioxide, Populations and Communities*. Academic Press, New York, NY, pp 375–412. ISBN 0-12-420870-3
- Rawson HM (1995) Yield responses of two wheat genotypes to carbon dioxide and temperature in field studies using temperature gradient tunnels. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 22: 23–32
- Samarakoon AB, Müller WJ, Gifford RM (1995) Transpiration and leaf area under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: Effects of soil water status and genotype in wheat. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 22: 33–44
- Sild E, Younis S, Pleijel H, Selldén G (1999) Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on non-structural carbohydrates in leaves, stems and ears of spring wheat. *Physiol Plant* 107: 60–67
- Stitt M (1991) Rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels and their potential significance for carbon flow in photosynthetic cells. *Plant Cell Environ* 14: 741–762
- Tottman DR, Broad H (1987) The decimal code for the growth stages of cereals, with illustrations. *Ann Appl Biol* 110: 441–454
- Van Vuren MMI, Robinson D, Fitter AH, Chasalow SD, Williamson L, Raven JA (1997) Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and soil water availability on root biomass, root length, and N, P and K uptake by wheat. *New Phytol* 135: 455–465
- Wahid A, Maggs R, Shaws SRA, Bell JNB, Ashmore MA (1995) Air pollution and its impact on wheat yield in the Pakistan Punjab. *Environ Pollut* 88: 147–154